INFLUENCE OF THE HYDROELECTRIC POWER PLANT OF PASSO FUNDO LAKE (RS, BRAZIL) ON LOCAL RAINFALL PATTERN
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ABSTRACT: The present study examined the influence of the Hydroelectric Power Plant (HPP) of Passo Fundo dam lake on local rainfall pattern. Daily rainfall data were obtained from the National Water Agency for two different periods: prior to the lake filling, 1960-1970 (pre-filling) and later to the lake filling, 1971-1981 (post-filling). Statistical measures (mean, maximum, minimum, standard deviation and coefficient of variation) and the Student’s t-test were applied to both periods regarding the monthly rainfall total. The Student’s t-test was also applied to days with cumulative rainfall greater than 1 mm, 10 mm, 20 mm, 30 mm, 50 mm, 80 mm and 100 mm, to the number of dry days and to the monthly largest dry period. The results showed that only days with cumulative rainfall exceeding 50 mm (July), number of dry days in the month (June) and the monthly largest dry period (May and Nov) were influenced due to the formation of the dam lake.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Concerns about the influence of artificial lakes on local climatology date back to the early twentieth century (BIGELOW, 1907; 1910; PALMER, 1910; HENRY, 1920).
Goodland (1977) and, Baxter and Glaude (1980) are considered as the first works that demonstrated environmental impacts due to the formation of hydroelectric dam lakes. In these works, the authors were concerned about environmental issues due to changes in the physical characteristics of nature. These issues involve new dynamic processes and physical, biological, human and economic actions.

Fernandez et al. (1986) studied local changes of airflow caused by the construction of the Arenal Reservoir in Costa Rica, the authors noted that there was an increase in air flow speed after the lake formation.

Sadek et al. (1997) compared methods of estimating evaporation at the Aswan Dam in the Lake Nasser (Egypt). The authors used water-balance, energy budget, bulk aerodynamic, combined and Complementary Relations Lake Evaporation (CRLE) methods. The results showed that the monthly distribution of the annual evaporation varied more widely according to the applied method. Updating evaporation estimates of Lake Nasser were made by Elsawwaf et al. (2010) using local meteorological and hydrological data from instrumented platforms (floating weather stations) in three locations on the lake.

Brazil has the largest number of Hydroelectric Power Plants (HPP) in operation and the greatest hydraulic head in the world. However, studies on the influence of HPP dam lakes on the local weather, especially rainfall, are still scarce.

Grimm (1988) applied statistical tests (Student’s t-test) to a set of data prior and later to the filling of the Itaipu Hydroelectric Power Plant (HPP) lake dam. The results demonstrated an increase in evaporation and minimum temperatures, and a decrease in maximum temperatures during August. In addition, the results show no changes in the monthly rainfall. However, surrounding the Sobradinho HPP, in the northeast semi-arid of Brazil, Campos (1990) studied the variability of rainfall during periods of pre and post-filling. Monthly and percentages of the rainy and dry periods showed that the Sobradinho lake dam influenced on the surroundings rainfall on average of 13% with an observed peak of 16% during the rainy season.

Sanches and Fisch (2005) studied the influence of the Tucurui HPP lake dam, located in the Amazon region, on the local rainfall pattern. Statistical tests were applied (Student’ T-test, Mann-Whitney U test) to rainfall data prior and later to the lake dam filling. Results showed an increase in the number of days with light rains in the dry period after the dam lake filling.

An important feature described by Campos (1990) and Sanches and Fisch (2015) is that local precipitation’s pattern changings were observed through three or four years after hydroelectric dam lake’s building. The authors believe that, from a systemic approach, physical conditions of environmental parameters arranged a new energetic balance point, known as entropy process.

The influence of Itá HPP dam lake, located between the states of Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina, was studied by Rodrigues and Canônica (2006), Czarnobai et al. (2006) and Biavatti et al. (2015). The authors observed a decrease in rainfall volumes after the lake filling, probably associated with the variability of ENSO. However, there was an increase in the minimum changes in wind speed and direction caused by the dam formation.
Barros and Galvani (2010) analyzed the influence of the Eng. Sergio Motta HPP dam lake, between the states of Sao Paulo and Mato Grosso do Sul, on temperatures and air humidity at the city of Presidente Epitácio. The results showed no correlation between the dam lake formation and the variables in any seasons.

Eventually, Silva Filho and Rabelo (2012), and Dantas and Sales (2015) analyzed the influence of the Lake Castanhão, in the state of Ceará, built in 2004 to mitigate drought issues in the northeastern semi-arid. After the application of statistical tests, the results revealed that the temperatures had no changes after the formation of the lake. However, there was an increase in rainfall and relative humidity around the lake after its construction.

This study aimed to identify changes on local rainfall patterns due to the HPP of Passo Fundo dam lake formation, in the Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil.

2. METHODOLOGY

Daily rainfall data used in this study were obtained from the National Water Agency (2016) at the rainfall gauge station nearby the Passo Fundo HPP dam lake (UHE Passo Fundo Barramento - cod. 2752020) (Fig. 1). The Passo Fundo HPP lake dam was formed in 1971, it has an area of 151.5 km² (TRACTEBEL ENERGIA, 2016) and it is located at northern part of the Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil.

According to Köppen’s climatic classification (TORRES, 2012), the study zone has a Cfa climate, temperate climate, hot summer and no dry seasons (WOLLMANN and GALVANI, 2012).

In the region, major precipitations occur due to Polar Migratory Anticyclone, which one is responsible for front rains formation. This system is intensified from autumn to winter. During winter, rainfalls on Rio Grande do Sul are originated from frontal cold systems by Polar air mass coming from Antarctic continent – Atlantic Polar airmass (WOLLMANN and GALVANI, 2012).

However, periods of major precipitation occur during spring and autumn, due to Mesoscale Convective Complexe’s (MCC) participation (GRIMM et al., 1998)

During summer, Tropical Atlantic airmass (hot and humid) moves from Brazil’s center to south region (Rio Grande do Sul state’s north), decreasing cold waves and providing increased temperatures, which are responsible for convective rains, deriving from cumulonimbus type clouds. Through this season, rainfalls are also associated to South Atlantic Convergence Zone (SACZ), set up as instability areas, having a Northwest to Southeast orientation, from Amazon to Rio Grande do Sul’s coast (BRITTO et al., 2008).
Daily rainfall data from two different periods were used: prior to lake filling, pre-filling (1960-1970) and later to lake filling, post-filling (1971-1981). Initially, the daily data were grouped into monthly totals and statistical measures were applied to both series: mean, maximum, minimum, standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

Afterward, the monthly values were submitted to the Student's t-test, with significance level of 95% (α = 0.05), in order to check the homogeneity between both series (pre and post filling).

Daily records were organized into days with cumulative rainfall less than 1 mm, 10 mm, 20 mm, 30 mm, 50 mm, 80 mm and 100 mm. In addition, the greater Length Dry Period per month (LDP/month) and the number of Dry Days in every month (DD) were identified. Daily values of the following classes: ≥1 mm, ≥10 mm ≥20 mm ≥30 mm ≥50 mm ≥80 mm and ≥100 mm, DD and LDP/month were submitted to the Student's t-test to check homogeneity between pre and post-filling series.

The null hypothesis (H0), for the Student's t-test, was assumed that there is no statistical difference between the two datasets (µ1 = µ2 e σ1 = σ2). However, if the observed results are different from what it is expected by this hypothesis (H0), it can be considered that the differences are statistically significant.

Therefore,
where,
\[ \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{N_1s_1^2 + N_2s_2^2}{N_1 + N_2 - 2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and
\[ s^2 = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - \mu)^2}{N - 1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In this way, \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) are the monthly averages for the pre and post-filling periods, respectively, \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are the analyzed monthly samples, \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation between sets and \( s_1^2 \) and \( s_2^2 \) their variances of each series. With respect to the degree of freedom, it is adopted:
\[ V = N_1 + N_2 - 2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Thus, in order to accept the hypothesis (H0), the score \( z \) of a statistical sample must be between -1.96 and 1.96, i.e., to consider the null hypothesis, the values must be \(-1.96 \leq z \leq 1.96\).

3. DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

3.1 MONTHLY DATA

Table I shows the statistical measures for the monthly rainfall in southern Brazil during the pre-filling period: the irregularity of the typical monthly rainfall of the subtropical climate (BRITO et al., 2008; NERY and CARFAN, 2014). In terms of averages, the volume precipitated during the pre-filling period ranged from 106.3 mm (May) to 198.3 mm (September). The maximum values ranged from 213.9 (July) to 455.6 (August) whereas the minimum values ranged from 13.0 mm (May) to 99.4 mm (October). By means of standard deviations and coefficients of variation analysis one can confirm the higher variability, i.e., in more than half, the cumulative monthly rainfall had varied over 50%
Table 1 - Cumulative monthly precipitation for the pre-filling period (1960-1970)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Abr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>163.0</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>202.6</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>178.6</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>232.8</td>
<td>161.0</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>114.4</td>
<td>118.8</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>302.4</td>
<td>219.2</td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>110.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>226.8</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>180.4</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>124.0</td>
<td>146.2</td>
<td>133.6</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>306.4</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>274.2</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>141.0</td>
<td>109.2</td>
<td>160.8</td>
<td>271.0</td>
<td>214.4</td>
<td>307.0</td>
<td>109.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>125.2</td>
<td>360.6</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>256.0</td>
<td>152.0</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>154.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>218.4</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>455.6</td>
<td>353.0</td>
<td>177.6</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>219.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>191.8</td>
<td>215.2</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>263.6</td>
<td>186.2</td>
<td>277.2</td>
<td>202.4</td>
<td>288.7</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>191.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>132.8</td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td>170.5</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>213.9</td>
<td>279.9</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>192.4</td>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>134.2</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td>154.1</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>167.2</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>137.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>226.4</td>
<td>177.6</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>177.6</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>215.8</td>
<td>132.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>165.0</td>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>237.6</td>
<td>261.8</td>
<td>158.0</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td>170.6</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>300.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean    | 146.9| 127.7| 135.7| 122.7| 106.3| 123.7| 133.6| 184.3| 198.3| 176.0| 130.7| 144.1|
Max.    | 306.4| 215.2| 274.2| 360.6| 237.6| 263.6| 213.9| 455.6| 353.0| 288.7| 307.0| 300.8|
Min.    | 40.7 | 67.4 | 59.6 | 20.8 | 13.0 | 34.0 | 72.4 | 16.8 | 92.1 | 99.4 | 54.8 | 63.2 |
Std. Dev| 76.0 | 47.8 | 65.0 | 98.8 | 77.8 | 80.5 | 44.3 | 129.7| 81.2 | 52.9 | 75.9 | 69.9 |
CV (%)  | 52   | 37   | 48   | 81   | 73   | 65   | 33   | 70   | 41   | 30   | 58   | 49   |

Source: National Water Agency (ANA). Organized by the authors.

Table II (post-filling period) shows a similar behavior as shown in Table I (pre-filling period). The same variability can be observed in the mean values of the period, especially for the April month, which presented values below 100 mm. However, such condition confirms the typical variability of monthly rainfall for the study region. The same behavior (high variability) may be observed in the maximum and minimum values of the post-filling period. Standard deviations and coefficients of variation confirm the peculiar dynamic variability of the cumulative monthly rainfall as well.
Table 2 - Cumulative monthly precipitation for the post-filling period (1971-1981)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Abr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>195.4</td>
<td>244.8</td>
<td>157.1</td>
<td>188.6</td>
<td>139.9</td>
<td>297.2</td>
<td>125.5</td>
<td>220.8</td>
<td>220.8</td>
<td>123.0</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>230.6</td>
<td>191.8</td>
<td>178.2</td>
<td>176.6</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>430.6</td>
<td>171.1</td>
<td>302.0</td>
<td>402.0</td>
<td>366.2</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>218.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>280.2</td>
<td>172.4</td>
<td>206.6</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>258.2</td>
<td>241.2</td>
<td>313.2</td>
<td>241.0</td>
<td>171.8</td>
<td>197.2</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>211.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>156.2</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>216.2</td>
<td>206.6</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>177.0</td>
<td>214.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>116.6</td>
<td>206.6</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>153.8</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>214.5</td>
<td>184.2</td>
<td>147.6</td>
<td>205.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>300.6</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>159.0</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>159.6</td>
<td>170.6</td>
<td>172.2</td>
<td>276.6</td>
<td>214.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>198.4</td>
<td>183.7</td>
<td>139.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>281.6</td>
<td>197.9</td>
<td>208.3</td>
<td>118.6</td>
<td>199.8</td>
<td>270.4</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>193.5</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>166.6</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td>148.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>181.6</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>158.4</td>
<td>226.0</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>236.4</td>
<td>175.8</td>
<td>142.3</td>
<td>396.4</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>255.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>164.6</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>216.4</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>161.4</td>
<td>132.8</td>
<td>172.1</td>
<td>195.6</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>175.4</td>
<td>121.4</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>164.0</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>125.8</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>153.6</td>
<td>253.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>176.7</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>138.1</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>157.6</td>
<td>186.2</td>
<td>160.5</td>
<td>163.5</td>
<td>170.1</td>
<td>178.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>300.6</td>
<td>244.8</td>
<td>206.6</td>
<td>188.6</td>
<td>258.2</td>
<td>430.6</td>
<td>313.2</td>
<td>402.0</td>
<td>366.2</td>
<td>396.4</td>
<td>276.6</td>
<td>255.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Water Agency (ANA). Organized by the authors.

Accordingly, the statistics of monthly totals for both periods showed no change on rainfall pattern due to the formation of the dam lake. The results from Student’s t-test application for the monthly totals (Tab. III) confirms the homogeneity of data sets pre and post-filling.

Table 3 - The statistic results of Student’s t-test for the monthly totals (pre and post-filling)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Abr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>-1.73</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-1.38</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 DAILY DATA

Regarding the daily data, the application of the Student’s t-test revealed that the daily precipitation classes equal to or greater than 1, 10, 20, 30, 80 and 100 mm were statistically homogeneous (Tab. IV).
Table 4 - Result from the statistical Student's t-test application for the daily precipitation classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Abr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1mm</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>-1.84</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10mm</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 20mm</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-1.86</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 30mm</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 50mm</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 80mm</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 100mm</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DD: number of dry days in the month; LDD/month: greater length of dry days in the month.

The Student’s t-test showed that in July, days with precipitation ≥ 50 mm underwent significant modifications regarded to behavioral changes. Figure 2 shows that there was a reduction in the number of days with rainfall, in this category, after the formation of the HPP of Passo Fundo dam lake.

Figure 2 - Days with rainfall ≥ 50 mm for the month of July

Although OMM’s (2011) recommendation for climatic characterization (pluviometric) of a zone considers a minimum period of thirty years, the building of an artificial lake, which has an 151.5 km² area, is capable of promoting changes on local precipitation process, due to the fact that surface changes modifies its energy balance (SILVA, 2015). Campos (1990) and Sanches and Fisch (2015) claim that these modifications occur, essentially, during first periods after hydroelectric lake’s building.
By means of comparison of the observed results with information from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) on the occurrence of ENSO, it appears at the beginning of 1970s, there was a La Niña phenomenon predominance in July. This condition may have influenced the reduction on the number of days with rain ≥ 50 mm.

Rao and Hada (1990), and Grimm et al. (2000) stated that the South and Southeast regions of South America are areas heavily affected by the warm phases (El Niño) and cold phases (La Niña) of ENSO. During the warm phases, rainfall is more intense and frequent, whereas during the cold phase, rainfall becomes scarcer.

For Nery and Carfan (2014), although the South region of Brazil presents rains above the climatological average during the El Niño years, every year under the warm phase (El Niño) produces no same response to the rains. However, Chechi and Sanches (2013), analyzing the rains in the Alto Uruguay Gaúcho area, where is located the Passo Fundo HPP, found that the years classified as dry and very dry, according to the Rains Anomaly Index (RAI), had low correspondence to the years of the cold phase of ENSO (La Niña).

Student’s t-test results analysis, for dry days in June and their behavior throughout the series (Fig. 3a), indicated the possibility HPP of Passo Fundo dam lake has influenced the reduction of dry days. According to the test, there is a significant difference between the pre and post-filling periods, as seen in Fig. 3b. It is appropriate to point out that the years 1971 and 1973 were years under the influence of the cold phase of ENSO (La Niña). During the summer 71/72, the ENSO manifested itself in its warm phase (El Niño).

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3** - Dry Days (DD) for the months of June. (a) Time-series and linear trend. (b) Box-plot of pre and post-filling periods

Likewise, the Student’s t-test results for the LDP/month applied to the months of May and November indicated differences between pre and post-filling series (Fig. 4c and 4d). Figures 4a and 4b show a downward trend for LDP/month for both months.
Figure 4 - Increased in Length Dry Period in the month (LDP/month). (a) Time series for May. (b) Time series for November. (c) Box-plot pre and post-filling periods for May. (d) Box-plot pre and post-filling periods for November.

The formation of the HPP of Passo Fundo dam lake has contributed to the reduction of LDP/month in May and November as the availability of water in a free surface favors evaporation. Therefore, the interval between rain events would be reduced in time.

Table V demonstrates that although the first years after the formation of the dam lake were under the effect of La Niña (Drier), from 1976 the November months were under the influence of El Niño (Rainier). However, the trend of reduced LDP/month remains negative (Fig. 4a and 4b) allowing one to consider that ENSO had little influence on the data set.
### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Statistical analysis of the monthly total rainfall (mean, maximum, minimum, standard deviation and coefficient of variation), before and after the formation of the Passo Fundo HPP of Passo Fundo dam lake, showed very similar characteristics mostly due to strong variability, characteristic of monthly rainfall in the subtropical part of the Brazilian territory.

The Student’s t-test results showed the formation of the dam lake produced no changes on the behavior of subsequent monthly rainfall after the lake formation. In addition, the evaluation of days with precipitation equal to or greater than 1, 10, 20, 30, 80 and 100 mm suggests that there were no significant changes due to the dam lake formation. However, the results of statistical tests demonstrated that the precipitation days ≥ 50 mm decreased in the post-filling period.

The analysis of the higher monthly Length Dry Period (LDP/month) and the amount of Dry Days (DD) for every month showed a downward trend after the lake formation. This trend finds no correspondence with the dynamics of cold and warm phases of ENSO. The months of May and November showed significant decrease in these parameters, according to the Student’s t-test application. These results reveal that the HPP of Passo Fundo dam lake contributed to the decrease of dry days, thereby reducing the interval between rainy days.

Therefore, it is possible to consider that, contradicting the most of the literature results on the subject, the formation of HPP of Passo Fundo dam lake had little influence on the behavior of rainfall. However, the results found here, should not be extrapolated to other cases without proper study of its climatological variables.
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