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ABSTRACT

The thermal diode consists in a common brick empty inside, where the internal cavity is geometrically arranged as two rectangles, disposed one over the other but not aligned. When the lower side is heated, natural convection in the air inside yields high heat transfer rates from this side to the other. When the upper side is heated, the heat transfer should run by pure conduction, and the brick with air inside works as a thermal insulator. As this brick allows a good conductance in one direction and insulation in the opposite sense, it behaves as an electric diode, being known as thermal diode. This principle is already known for a long time, but its use is still not extensive, and there are no basic rules for the cavity design or even a theoretical study of viability for this use replacing the conventional insulation systems. The objective of this work is to simulate the heat transfer process inside a thermal diode, in order to obtain the optimal geometry and dimensions and to verify the viability of its use in buildings for thermal optimization. The numerical data are validated through comparing with that obtained from the test applied to cellular concrete bricks.
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NOMENCLATURE

- \( A \) brick height, m
- \( C_p \) specific heat at constant pressure, J/(kg.K)
- \( d \) brick minor thickness, m
- \( e \) brick major thickness, m
- \( H \) heat function, W/m\(^2\)
- \( I \) indicator function, dimensionless
- \( k \) thermal conductivity, W/m.K
- \( L \) brick transversal length, m
- \( P \) pressure, Pa
- \( Pr \) Prandtl number
- \( Ra \) Rayleigh number
- \( t \) time, s
- \( T \) temperature, K or \(^\circ\)C
- \( u \) velocity vector, m
- \( w \) mass flux vector, kg/m\(^2\).s

Greek symbols

- \( \rho \) density, kg/m\(^3\)
- \( \mu \) viscosity, Pa.s

INTRODUCTION

Thermal control of rooms and buildings has been deserved more attention of designers and architects, specially in the new generation buildings, the so called intelligent buildings, where the internal conditions and rational use of energy for acclimatization and maintenance costs are taken into account. During the project stage, the indoor desired conditions have to be reached with minor costs and environment impact (Mascaró and Mascaró, 1999). As a consequence, some alternatives to the conventional systems for thermal insulation in buildings (use of thermal insulation materials and double walls) have appeared. Such alternatives include the natural acclimatization and the use of configurations that allow a better circulation and ventilation, improving the heat exchange inside the building (Torreira, 1980, Mascaró and Mascaró, 1999).

An alternative to the conventional thermal insulation methods is the use of the thermal diode, which consists in a common brick empty inside, where the internal cavity is geometrically arranged as two rectangles, disposed one over the other but not aligned, Fig. (1).

When the lower side is heated, Fig. (2.a), natural convection in the air inside yields high heat transfer rates from this side to the other. When the upper side is heated, Fig. (2.b), the heat transfer runs by pure conduction, and the brick with air inside works as a

![Figure 1. Three-dimensional view of a thermal diode.](image-url)
thermal insulator, due air’s low thermal conductivity, when compared to the solid materials commonly used in bricks (Özisik, 1990). As this brick allows a good conductance in one direction and insulation in the opposite side, it behaves as an electric diode, being known as thermal diode. Since it is basically a common brick, the cost increment for its manufacturing is very small.

![Thermal diode diagram](image)

Figure 2. Heat exchange in a thermal diode.

Thermal diode use is especially recommended in situations where the weather presents high thermal amplitude, and room’s temperature should not vary strongly, as in electronic equipments, CPDs, high precision laboratories and others (Mascaró and Mascaró, 1999).

This principle is already known for a long time, but its use is still not extensive, and there are no basic rules for the cavity design or even a theoretical study of viability for this use replacing the conventional insulation systems (Özisik, 1990, Mascaró and Mascaró, 1999). Barata et al. (1988) have simulated the effect of inclination and internal shape of the cavity in the heat exchange, studying the Nusselt number amplification through both parameters, and keeping a unitary aspect ratio. The optimal shape found is similar to that proposed in the current work, and was called Cavidade Retificadora (“Fitting Cavity”) by the authors.

The objective of this work is to simulate the heat transfer process inside a thermal diode, in order to obtain the optimal geometry and dimensions, and to verify the viability of its use in buildings when compared to the traditional insulators. The numerical data are validated through comparing with that obtained from the test of some configurations applied to cellular concrete bricks.

### PHYSICAL PROBLEM AND MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The two-dimensional transient Navier-Stokes and energy equations and mass conservation equation were employed to simulate the process of heat exchange inside the cavity. The fluid was considered Newtonian, with constant density, except in the body force term (Boussinesq hypothesis), what is usual in this kind of problem:

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (\mathbf{w})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{w} \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla P + \text{Pr} \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \text{Pr} \cdot \text{Ra} \cdot \mathbf{T} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho C_p \mathbf{T})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho C_p \mathbf{u} \mathbf{T}) = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla \mathbf{T}) \quad (3)
\]

where \( \mathbf{u} \) is the velocity, \( \rho \) is the density, \( \mathbf{w} = \rho \mathbf{u} \) (mass flux), \( t \) is the time, \( P \) is the pressure, \( T \) is the temperature, \( \mu \) is the viscosity, \( \text{Pr} \) is the Prandtl number, \( \text{Ra} \) is the Rayleigh number, \( C_p \) is the specific heat and \( k \) is the thermal conductivity. Terms in bold are vector quantities, and dimensionless properties are relative to its values at mean temperature.

The materials (brick or air) were defined by its values for the indicator function, \( I(x) \), equal to 1 for the air and 0 for the concrete. Although being considered constants, the properties of each phase are different. A property \( \phi(\rho, \mu, C_p \text{ or } k) \) is calculated by:

\[
\phi(x) = \phi_{\text{solid}} + (\phi_{\text{air}} - \phi_{\text{solid}}) I(x) \quad (4)
\]

The Heat Function (H) is a useful tool that allows visualizing the heat exchange way between the walls, and was defined as (Polina et al., 1989):

\[
H_y = \rho C_p \cdot u \cdot T - k \cdot T_x \quad (5.a)
\]

\[
H_x = -\rho C_p \cdot v \cdot T + k \cdot T_y \quad (5.b)
\]

Operation conditions considered were that commonly found in buildings, extended to regions with high thermal amplitude (Mascaró and Mascaró and Mascaró and Mascaró, 1999). The model was built considering variable air and concrete properties, although under the operation range, property variation can be neglected (Zhong et al., 1985).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The computational code was built in FORTRAN, and the Finite Volume method was employed to solve the system of equations (Patankar, 1980). The PRIME algorithm was used for pressure-velocity coupling (Maliska, 1995).
The numerical procedure was validated comparing with the benchmark results for the classic problem of the square cavity heated at different temperatures, obtained from the Generalized Integral Transform Technique – GITT (Machado et al., 2000). Results for $Ra = 10^5$ show more than 1% of accuracy.

**Determination of optimal dimensions**

First the problem was treated as a pure convection process, neglecting the heat conduction in the cavity walls, so as to verify the system behavior. Cases were run considering dimensions of a feasible brick and the properties of the concrete and air at 300 K, Tab. (1), with vertical walls at constant temperature and horizontal walls at zero heat flux (thermally insulated). Due the high processing time, the results were obtained for a maximum Rayleigh number of 3000 (that corresponds to a temperature difference of 0.01°C). Such cases were used to determine the optimal cavity dimensions, Fig. (3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete brick (Sical, 2001)</th>
<th>Density $\rho = 500$ kg/m$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal conductivity $k = 0.1-0.2$ W/m °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific heat $C_p = 800$ J/kg °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compression strength 2.5 Mpa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air (Özisik, 1990)</th>
<th>Density $\rho = 1.1774$ kg/m$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal conductivity $k = 0.0262$ W/m °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific heat $C_p = 1005.7$ J/kg °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viscosity $\mu = 1.985 \times 10^{-5}$ N.s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the numerical results, the thermal diode presents the predicted behavior only in cases where the solid thermal conductivity is quite smaller than the air conductivity. In this case, thermal resistance difference among the heat exchange direction tends to increase continuously with the ratio $d/L$. When the left wall temperature ($T_w$) is higher than the right wall temperature ($T_e$), heat convection becomes stronger and thermal resistance decreases – Fig. (4.a). Such situation has little practical interest, since solid materials commonly used (even thermal insulators) have thermal conductivity higher than air (Torreira, 1989).

When thermal conductivity of the solid is higher than the air’s conductivity, as in the case of the brick with a cavity ($k_{solid}/k_{air} = 5$), the behavior observed was contrary to the expectative: if $T_w$ is lower than $T_e$, thermal resistance reduces, because the higher conductivity of the solid permits the cooling of the upper air layer and the heating of the downer air layer, increasing the convection intensity. In this case, the ratio $d/L$ that yields the highest difference of thermal resistance between the two directions for heat exchange is 0.3 – Fig. (4.b).

The solution of the conjugated problem of convection inside the cavity and conduction in the

![Figure 3. Cavity dimensions.](image-url)

![Figure 4. Variation of thermal resistance of the cavity with $d/L$.](image-url)
walls considering 15 mm thickness for the brick walls (which was chosen taking into account the minimum compression resistance) is shown in Fig. (5). The presence of the wall reduces greatly the convection effects, what was not considered at the beginning of the work. The maximum difference of thermal resistance reaches 0.84 %, and the direction of it varies according to the ratio d/L.

In Figs. (6-8), one can observe the physical behavior of the heat exchange. In Fig. (6), isotherms show that the solid material stands with a small temperature difference, due its high thermal conductivity, what makes the air temperature in each cavity region get closer to the temperature of the thicker regions of the walls. In Fig. (7), streamlines show the recirculation caused by temperature difference. The basic difference among the flows in two cases is the direction of rotation. In Fig. (8), the heat lines (isolines of heat function) show that the influence of convection is stronger in the case where Tw > Te, that causes a bigger deformation in the way of energy between the walls.

The simulation results, even if obtained for a low Rayleigh number, show a difference of thermal resistance, although when the effect of thickness is added to the problem, the direction of such variation is not evident.

As the most desirable characteristic for the brick is the highest difference among the thermal resistances, according to the heat transfer direction, the tests were done for the optimal configuration (d/L = 0.3).

Experimental results

For high temperature differences, experimental tests were done. The set up schedule is shown in Figs. (9-10), and is similar to that proposed by Moura et al. (1993). The boundary conditions used were prescribed wall temperature in the cold side (mixture of ice and water at 0°C) and prescribed heat flux in the hot side, produced through an electrical resistance.
put under a copper plate in straight contact with the brick’s face and thermally insulated from the other surfaces. The heat flux was controlled with a.c.c. electrical source and considered equal to the measured electrical power.

Initially, the set up was tested for the case of pure heat conduction, so as to calibrate the system and validate the physical model (including the physical properties used for the brick material). After steady state was established, the copper flat plate at the hot side reached 46°C. The heating power was 10 W. Supposing one-dimensional pure conduction in the brick the estimated thermal conductivity was 0.18 W/m °C. This result agrees with the literature (0.1-0.2 W/m °C, depending on the brick humidity – Pietrobon et al., 2001; SICAL, 2001).

1. Concrete brick
2. Electrical resistance for heating
3. Water + ice
4. Thermal insulation
5. Copper flat plates
6. Thermocouples
7. Acquisition system
8. Heating power control
9. Computer

Figure 9. Experimental set up.

Once the set up was tested for pure conduction, the brick prototype (brick with a cavity) – Figs. (11-12) was tested under similar conditions. The heating power was kept to 20 W. Steady state was reached after 12 hours. The steady state results are shown in Tab. (2).

Table 2. Results for prototype test, after reached steady state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration relative to Fig. (3)</th>
<th>ΔT</th>
<th>Thermal Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tw &gt; Te</td>
<td>39.4 °C</td>
<td>1.96 °C/W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tw &lt; Te</td>
<td>41.1 °C</td>
<td>2.05 °C/W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The empirical behavior of the thermal resistance confirms what was expected for the thermal diode. When Tw > Te, the convection becomes more active, reducing the brick’s thermal resistance.
CONCLUSIONS

The solution of the physical problem with a computational code resulted in the validation of the mathematical model. Along with an experimental test, several data about the thermal behavior and transient response of the thermal diode were obtained. The main conclusion is that a feasible diode behaves as it was expected according to the physical model. Although the variation of thermal resistance according to the heat transfer direction was confirmed, its intensity does not indicate the viability of its use in thermal optimization of indoor environments. More tests with other dimensions and materials and an economic analysis are needed for a definitive conclusion.
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