THORNTHWAITÉ’S CLIMATE REGIONALIZATION FOR THE STATE OF TOCANTINS, BRAZIL
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Resumo
Regionalização climática de thornthwaite para o estado de Tocantins. O Estado do Tocantins encontra-se em franca expansão agrícola. Assim, estudos sobre regionalização climática são essenciais para a melhor compreensão e predição da variabilidade climatológica, subsidiando o planejamento agrícola e ambiental. Nesse contexto, objetivou-se aplicar a classificação climática de Thornthwaite e proceder à regionalização climática por meio de técnicas geoestatísticas, avaliando o desempenho dos interpoladores krigagem ordinária (KO) e cokrigagem (CK). Foram utilizados dados de 26 estações meteorológicas localizadas no Estado do Tocantins e entorno. O balanço hídrico climatológico permitiu obter as variáveis de interesse para regionalização climática, que foram mapeadas por técnicas geoestatísticas. Os resultados da validação cruzada mostraram que a krigagem ordinária e a cokrigagem apresentaram desempenho satisfatório. Os modelos de semivariograma esférico e exponencial obtiveram melhor desempenho em 40% das análises cada, enquanto que o gaussiano obteve em 20%. A classificação de Thornthwaite aplicada ao Tocantins mostrou a presença dos climas Úmido (B'), Subúmido úmido (C') e Subúmido Seco (C), em três regiões climáticas específicas: B'A'wa' (clima úmido, megatérmico, com moderada deficiência de água no inverno, e regime de eficiência de temperatura normal para o tipo climático megatérmico) na região oeste do estado de Tocantins; C'A'wa' (clima subúmido úmido, megatérmico, com moderada deficiência de água no inverno, e regime de eficiência de temperatura normal para o tipo climático megatérmico) na região central e estendendo-se de norte a sul; e C'A'wa' (clima subúmido seco, megatérmico, com grande excesso de água no verão, e regime de eficiência de temperatura normal para o tipo climático megatérmico) no leste e nordeste.
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Abstract
Tocantins State faces a large-scale agricultural expansion. Thus, climate studies are essential for a better understanding of climate variability supporting agricultural and environmental planning. In this context, this study applies the climatic classification of Thornthwaite and develops a climate regionalization through geostatistical techniques, assessing the performance of the interpolators ordinary kriging (OK) and cokriging (CK). Data from 26 weather stations located in Tocantins State and surroundings were used. The variables of interest to climate regionalization, obtained by the climatic water balance, were mapped by geostatistical techniques. The results of cross-validation showed that ordinary kriging and cokriging performed well. The spherical and exponential semivariogram models obtained the best fit in 40% of the analyzes each, and the gaussian in 20%. The climatic classification of Thornthwaite applied to Tocantins State showed the presence of humid (B'), moist subhumid (C'), and dry subhumid (C) climates. There were found three climatic regions: B'A'wa': Humid, megathermal, with moderate winter water deficiency, and a temperature efficiency regime normal to megathermal , occurring in the western region of the state; C'A'wa': Moist subhumid, megathermal, with moderate winter water deficiency, and a temperature efficiency regime normal to megathermal , occurring in the central region and extending from the north to the south of the state; and C'A'wa': Dry subhumid, megathermal, with large summer water surplus, and a temperature efficiency regime normal to megathermal , in the east and northeast of the state.
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INTRODUCTION

Located in the Northern region of Brazil, the State of Tocantins is geographically in its central region, bordering the northeastern and midwestern regions (SEPLAN, 2012). Thus, the State sits in an important climate transition area, which requires detailed studies to map the different existing climatic regions.

The knowledge of regions with climate characteristics and homogeneous biogeographic is fundamental for providing information about the agricultural potentialities, ecological conditions, and the environment of a given place (ANDRADE JÚNIOR et al., 2005). Therefore, studies on climate regionalization can be widely used as an instrument of strategic agricultural planning in the context of water resources management (PASSOS et al., 2017).

Among the main climate classification methods are the Köppen and the Thornthwaite method. For the State of Tocantins, the Köppen method classifies the entire state as Aw - tropical climate with dry winter (ALVARES et al., 2014), being inadequate for climate assessment from the agricultural point of view. In this sense, the climate classification system proposed by Thornthwaite, which uses indexes based on climatological water balance (CWB), is shown to be more suitable for agricultural purposes, since this system is capable of separating mesoscale climates (APARECIDO et al., 2016; ROLIM; APARECIDO, 2016). However, this climate classification system has a complex symbology, which hinders its greater diffusion (ACS et al., 2014).

The surface meteorological monitoring is traditionally performed at weather stations. Interpolation techniques can be applied in GIS (Geographic Information System) for the regionalization of such information. In the Brazilian context, the following studies are highlighted: Alves et al. (2014), for Brazil as a whole; Sá Jr. et al. (2012), for the State of Minas Gerais; Rolim and Aparecido (2016), for the State of São Paulo, among others. There are few climatological studies specifically addressing the State of Tocantins. The Tocantins Atlas (SEPLAN, 2012) and the Tocantins Agroecological Zoning (EMBRAPA, 1999) are available. However, both studies present substantial differences in terms of zoned climatic regions. Hence, in the specific context of the State of Tocantins, for which the agricultural frontier is expanding, it is extremely necessary to develop studies aimed at defining the climatic zones most suitable for agricultural activities.

Among the spatial interpolation methods applied to the mapping of climatic variables, geostatistical techniques stand out. Based on the structuring of a semivariogram model for a given variable that has a spatial dependence structure, the geostatistical interpolator (kriging) enables unbiased estimates with minimal estimation variance (GOOVAERTS, 1997). Studies addressing geostatistical techniques have been widely applied in the mapping of climate variables around the world, with good results. On this matter, the following studies are highlighted: Berndt et al. (2014) for Germany; Wang et al. (2014), for Canada; Mello et al. (2015), for Brazil; Frazier et al. (2016), for Hawaii; Burguera et al. (2018); for Spain; Crespi et al. (2018), for Italy; among others.

Thus, developing research on geostatistical interpolators for climate regionalization in GIS environment represents a promising tool for agricultural purposes. The possibility of applying databases densely sampled by remote sensing as the secondary variable in the cokriging procedure is a promising option in the search for better interpolation results. This is relevant especially in regions with low density of weather stations, e.g. the Northern region of Brazil.

In this context, we performed the climatic regionalization of Thornthwaite through the geostatistical interpolators ordinary kriging and cokriging for the State of Tocantins, aiming to identify the climatic regions occurring with in the state and their spatial variability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The studied area corresponds to the State of Tocantins, located between parallels 5° 10’ 06” and 13° 27’ 59” South, and meridians 45° 44’ 46” and 50° 44’ 33” West, with an area of 277,621 km², representing 3.26% of the Brazilian territory and 7.2% of the northern region (SEPLAN, 2012).

Its territory is inserted in the Legal Amazon, with occurrence of the Amazon biome in 9% of the state’s area. The Cerrado biome occupies about 91% of the state’s area (SEPLAN, 2012). The average annual air temperature is between 25 °C and 29 °C, with maximum monthly temperatures of 35 °C in September, and absolute maximum temperature around 42 °C. Minimum temperatures occur in July, with monthly averages of 15 °C and absolute minimum of 8 °C. Thermal amplitude between the maximum and minimum averages is 14 °C, and annual average rainfall ranges from 1,200 mm to 2,100 mm (SEPLAN, 2012).

We used monthly data of climatic elements from 26 weather stations located in the southern hemisphere, belonging to the Brazilian National Institute of Meteorology (INMET). These data are present in the Climate Normals (1961-1990) published by INMET (1992).
The study considered stations near to the State of Tocantins aiming at a better description of the conditions in nearby areas. The location of the weather stations used is shown in Figure 1.

For the elaboration of the climatological water balance (CWB), monthly average data of rainfall and temperature were used. The entire climatological water balance and climatic classification procedure were developed according to Thornthwaite and Mather revised method described by Vianello and Alves (2012). Having the CWB of each locality, the annual totals of potential evapotranspiration (PET), water deficiency (DEF), and water surplus (SUR) were used to calculate the following climate indexes: aridity (Ia), humidity (Ih), and moisture (Im) (modified), as well as the summer concentration potential evapotranspiration (SET), using the following equations:

\[ I_h = \frac{SUR}{PET} \times 100 \]
\[ I_a = \frac{DEF}{PET} \times 100 \]
\[ I_m = I_h - I_a \]
\[ SET = \left( \sum PET(\text{dec} + \text{Jan} + \text{Feb}) / PET(\text{annual}) \right) \times 100 \]

Climate types were defined based on the modified moisture index (Im), as shown in Table 1. Climate subdivisions were based on the aridity index (Ia), humidity index (Ih), and thermal efficiency index (Ii or annual PET), according to Tables 2 and 3.

### Table 1. Classificação climática revisada de Thornthwaite e Mather (ICRISAT, 1980)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Types</th>
<th>Moisture Index (Im)</th>
<th>Climate Types</th>
<th>Moisture Index (Im)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A – perhumid</td>
<td>Im ≥ 100</td>
<td>C2 – moist subhumid</td>
<td>0 ≤ Im &lt; 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 – humid</td>
<td>80 ≤ Im &lt; 100</td>
<td>C1 – dry subhumid</td>
<td>-33.3 ≤ Im &lt; 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 – humid</td>
<td>60 ≤ Im &lt; 80</td>
<td>D – semi-arid</td>
<td>-66.7 ≤ Im &lt; -33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 – humid</td>
<td>40 ≤ Im &lt; 60</td>
<td>E – arid</td>
<td>-100 ≤ Im &lt; -66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 – humid</td>
<td>20 ≤ Im &lt; 40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tabela 2. Subdivisão da classificação climática segundo Thornthwaite (1948), baseada no índice de aridez (I_a) e índice hídrico (I_h).

Table 2. Subdivision of climate types based on the aridity index (I_a) and humidity index (I_h), according to Thornthwaite (1948)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Humid climates (A, B, C, B_3, B_2, B_1, C_2)</th>
<th>(I_a)</th>
<th>Dry climates (C, D, E, C_1, D_1, C_2)</th>
<th>(I_h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r – little or no water deficiency</td>
<td>0 ≤ I_a &lt; 16.7</td>
<td>d – little or no water surplus</td>
<td>0 ≤ I_h &lt; 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s – moderate summer water deficiency</td>
<td>16.7 ≤ I_a &lt; 33.3</td>
<td>s – moderate winter water surplus</td>
<td>10 ≤ I_h &lt; 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w – moderate winter water deficiency</td>
<td>16.7 ≤ I_a &lt; 33.3</td>
<td>w – moderate summer water surplus</td>
<td>10 ≤ I_h &lt; 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s_2 – large summer water deficiency</td>
<td>I_h ≥ 33.3</td>
<td>s_2 – large winter water surplus</td>
<td>I_h ≥ 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w_2 – large winter water deficiency</td>
<td>I_h ≥ 33.3</td>
<td>w_2 – large summer water surplus</td>
<td>I_h ≥ 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tabela 3. Subdivisão da classificação climática segundo Thornthwaite (1948), baseados no índice térmico (PET) anual e na concentração da evapotranspiração ocorrente no verão (ETV).

Table 3. Subdivision of climate types according to Thornthwaite (1948), based on the thermal efficiency index (annual PET) and summer concentration of annual evapotranspiration (SET)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Types</th>
<th>Annual PET (mm)</th>
<th>Climate Subtype</th>
<th>SET (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A' – megathermal</td>
<td>PET ≥ 1,140</td>
<td>a’</td>
<td>SET &lt; 48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_4 – mesothermal</td>
<td>1,140 &gt; PET ≥ 997</td>
<td>b_4'</td>
<td>48.0 ≤ SET &lt; 51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_3 – mesothermal</td>
<td>997 &gt; PET ≥ 855</td>
<td>b_3'</td>
<td>51.9 ≤ SET &lt; 56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_2 – mesothermal</td>
<td>855 &gt; PET ≥ 712</td>
<td>b_2'</td>
<td>56.3 ≤ SET &lt; 61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_1 – mesothermal</td>
<td>712 &gt; PET ≥ 570</td>
<td>b_1'</td>
<td>61.6 ≤ SET &lt; 68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_2 – microthermal</td>
<td>570 &gt; PET ≥ 427</td>
<td>c_2'</td>
<td>68.0 ≤ SET &lt; 76.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_1 – microthermal</td>
<td>427 &gt; PET ≥ 285</td>
<td>c_1'</td>
<td>76.3 ≤ SET &lt; 88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’ – tundra</td>
<td>285 &gt; PET ≥ 142</td>
<td>d’</td>
<td>SET ≥ 88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E’ – frost</td>
<td>PET &lt; 142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spherical, exponential, and Gaussian semivariogram models were evaluated for spatialization of climatic indexes. The choice of the semivariogram model is crucial for the quality of the mapping of study variables. The degree of spatial dependence (DSD), was calculated—the ratio between the structural variance and contribution (SV) and the sill—was calculated to verify the existence of a spatial dependence structure of the adjusted models. The degree of spatial dependence is classified as follows: weak dependency for values less than 25%; moderate dependency, values between 25% and 75%; and strong dependency for values greater than 75% (Camberdella et al., 1994).

To evaluate which semivariogram model performed better among the three evaluated, the cross-validation technique was applied. Cross-validation consists in removing data from the sample dataset, followed by estimating the variable under study for this position using the remaining samples. Thus, using the observed values and those calculated by cross-validation, statistical coefficients were computed to evaluate which semivariogram model produced the best result for each of the two interpolators studied (ordinary kriging (OK) and cokriging (CK)). The two generated statistical coefficients applied were Bias and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE). The first one quantifies the occurrence of a trend for overestimation or underestimation. Meanwhile, a low MAPE value corresponds to greater the similarity observed value and the one calculated by cross-validation (LIMA; Alves, 2009). The semivariogram model with the lowest MAPE value was selected to map the climatic indexes.

Climatic regionalization was performed using an extension of ArcMap, ArcGIS9.2® (ESRI, 2004) a well-known GIS (Geographic Information System) environment. For cokriging, the altitude extracted from the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) (Farr et al., 2007), with spatial resolution of 90 meters, was adopted as secondary variable.

Thus, surface maps were generated by the OK and CK methods for the climatic indexes I_a, I_h, PET and SET. Subsequently, these maps were reclassified, considering the amplitudes described in Tables 1, 2, and 3. Finally, the climate regionalization map was obtained by overlapping the maps of climatic indexes. Based on the moisture index (I_h), humid (A, B_4, B_3, B_2, B_1, and C_2), or dry (C_1, D_1, and E) climates were distinguished. Climate
subtypes associated with humid climates were then defined based on \( I_a \), PET, and SET, while those associated with dry climates were defined based on \( I_h \), PET, and SET. The results obtained were then compared with pre-existing results generated by Embrapa (1999) and Seplan (2012).

**RESULTS**

The Thornthwaite and Mather (1955) climatological water balance was developed for each location, and the value of 100 mm was established for maximum available water capacity (AWC). Table 4 shows the semivariogram model selected for the spatialization of each variable under study. Among the lowest MAPE values, the exponential and spherical models stood out in 80% of the situations (40% in each case), while the gaussian model stood out in 20% of the cases (PET (CK) and \( I_m \) (OK)). This highlights the importance of analyzing which semivariogram model is most appropriate for modeling the semivariance of each variable.

Tabela 4. Parâmetros do modelo de semivariograma (NU – efeito pepita, SV – variância estrutural, A – alcance) que apresentou menor erro médio percentual absoluto (MAPE), grau de dependência espacial (DS) e BIAS para os índices climáticos PET (evapotranspiração potencial anual), SET (concentração da evapotranspiração potencial anual no verão), \( I_h \) (índice de aridez), \( I_m \) (índice de umidade) e \( I_a \) (índice hídrico) por krigagem ordinária (KO) e cokrigagem (CK)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climatic Index</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>NU</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>A (km)</th>
<th>BIAS (%)</th>
<th>MAPE (%)</th>
<th>DSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET (KO)</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36513</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET (CK)</td>
<td>gaussian</td>
<td>3944</td>
<td>34977</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET (KO)</td>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET (CK)</td>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_h ) (OK)</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_h ) (CK)</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_m ) (OK)</td>
<td>gaussian</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-24.5</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>81.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_m ) (CK)</td>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1446</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_a ) (OK)</td>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>1.826</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_a ) (CK)</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1621</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>-20.7</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The BIAS value corresponds to the percentage of climatic index bias calculated by the interpolators. Positive and negative values indicate a trend for underestimation and overestimation, respectively. For the variables PET, SET, and \( I_h \), BIAS values below 5% were obtained, while for the variables \( I_m \) and \( I_h \), these values were below 25%. Thus, most estimates showed good accuracy, denoting that the parameters were properly adjusted. In this sense, for the indexes PET, SET and \( I_h \), positive BIAS values were obtained, denoting an underestimation trend, while for \( I_m \) an overestimation trend was obtained by both interpolators.

Comparing the cross-validation results obtained by interpolators OK and CK, the second showed better MAPE and BIAS results only for PET. Therefore, the use of the digital elevation model as a secondary variable in cokriging interpolator of climatic indexes did not result in improvements when compared to ordinary kriging. This can be explained by the poor correlation between altitude and climatic indexes. The correlation coefficient \( r \) between climatic indexes and altitude was weak (\(|r|<0.5\)) for SET, \( I_h \), \( I_m \), and \( I_a \), and strong (\(|r|>0.8\)) for PET.

The degree of spatial dependence (DSD) of climatic indexes was classified as strong spatial dependence structure (DSD>75%), except for SET by interpolator OK, for which DSD was classified as moderate. Another important aspect concerns the high range (A) of the spatial dependence structure obtained for the climatic indexes by both interpolators, over 936 km and 943 km for OK and CK, respectively. Thus, it is clear that the climatic indexes associated with the Thornthwaite classification studied for the State of Tocantins show a comprehensive spatial dependence structure, a situation in which good estimates are expected with the application of geostatistical techniques.

Figure 2 shows the maps of climatic indexes PET, SET, \( I_h \), \( I_m \), and \( I_a \) obtained by interpolators OK and CK for Tocantins State.
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Figura 2. Mapas de evapotranspiração potencial anual (PET), concentração da evaporação potencial anual ocorrente no verão (SET), índice de aridez (Iₐ), índice hídrico (Iₕ) e índice de umidade (Iₙ) obtidos por krigagem ordinária (A) e cokrigagem (B)

Figure 2. Maps of potential annual evapotranspiration (PET), summer concentration of potential evapotranspiration (SET), aridity index (Iₐ), humidity index (Iₕ), and moisture index (Iₙ) obtained by ordinary kriging (A) and cokriging (B)

The potential annual evapotranspiration (PET), considered as thermal index in the Thornthwaite classification, presented maximum values of 1,632 mm (OK) and 1,578 mm (CK), with an increasing gradient from south to north. According to Thornthwaite’s classification, the thermal index can range from subtype megathermal (A’) to frost (E’) (Table 3). By reclassifying the PET maps obtained by interpolators OK and CK, climate subtype megathermal (A’) prevails for both for the whole Tocantins State, characterized by PET ≥ 1,440 mm.

The accumulated potential summer evapotranspiration (SET), in turn, varied between 24% and 26% for Tocantins State by both interpolators, with an increasing gradient from north to south. According to the Thornthwaite classification (Table 3), SET values lower than 48% indicate reduced variation in potential evapotranspiration throughout the year. It can be explained by the reduced amplitude of the thermal regime across the year in this region. In this approach, the entire State of Tocantins received a classification called a’ (temperature efficiency regime normal to megathermal) in the Thornthwaite classification.

The aridity index (Iₐ) showed that the water deficit, characteristic of the winter season in the Tocantins State (June to September), is equivalent to 20-33% of the potential annual evapotranspiration throughout the state. Increasing values are observed from the west (near the Amazon biome) to the east (near the Caatinga biome). This index makes it possible to identify regions of the state where drought is most severe. Although there is a clear gradient for Iₐ, when reclassified according to Thorhthwaite (Table 2), climate subtype w prevails in the State, characterizing the occurrence of moderate winter water deficiency.

Values ranging between 20.7% and 55.3% were obtained in the regionalization of the humidity index (Iₕ). The Thornthwaite classification establishes climate subtypes centered on this index, ranging from regions with little or no water surplus (0 ≤ Iₕ < 10) to regions with large water surplus (Iₕ ≥ 20). Thus, based on both interpolators, the annual water surplus, which is prevalent during the mainly months of the rainy season (December, January, and February), is rated w₂ (large summer water surplus) for the entire State of Tocantins (Table 2).

The moisture index, in turn, has shown to increase from east to west. Based on this index, and considering the class amplitude presented in Table 1, the State of Tocantins shows two climate types classified as humid (C₂ and B₁), and one classified as dry (C₁). Following is described the three identified climate types: a) C₁ - dry
subhumid (−33.3 ≤ I_m < 0), in the extreme east of the state; b) C_1 – moist subhumid (0 ≤ I_m < 20), in a central range, from north to south; and c) B_1 – humid (20 ≤ I_m < 40), in the southwest of the state and in the far southeast on the map obtained by cokriging. This distinction with respect to the occurrence of the climate type in the extreme southeast of the state can be explained due to cokriging including a secondary variable (altitude) and due to differences in the semivariogram model and range. For cokriging, the exponential model with a range of 2,069 km was selected. For ordinary kriging, the gaussian model with a range of 936 km was used.

The climatic regionalization of Thornthwaite for Tocantins State was then obtained by overlapping the maps of climate indexes. Thus, zones with homogeneous climatic characteristics were obtained according to the Thornthwaite classification, through geostatistical interpolators OK and CK (Figure 3). The differences between the climate classification maps obtained by OK and CK are directly related to the I_m maps, since for the other indexes similar results were obtained by both interpolators.

**DISCUSSION**

Cross validation results showed good accuracy of geostatistical techniques (Table 4), indicating that the semivariograms were properly adjusted and did not produce biased estimates. It is also attested that the climatic indexes associated with the Thornthwaite classification present ample spatial dependence (Table 4), anticipating that usage of geostatistical techniques generates good estimates.

The predominant site of occurrence of climate type C_1′A′w_2a′ is in the extreme east of Tocantins, near the border with the States of Bahia, Piauí, and Maranhão, where Caatinga biome occurs. It is important to note that this climatic region showed a dry subhumid (C_1) climate type, due to the intense water deficit observed from April to September. Conversely, during the rainy season, especially between December and March, there is considerable water surplus, equivalent to up to 33% of the potential annual evapotranspiration. This situation characterizes climatic subtype w_2, vis, summer with large water surplus. This finding shows better chances for successful development of agricultural activities during summer in this climatic region.
Climate type C₂A’wa’ occurs in the central range of the state, from north to south. Examining in more detail the climatological water balance of the weather stations, the region of climate C₂ in the State of Tocantins is likely caused by the stations of Conceição do Araguaia - TO, Peixe - TO, Paraná - TO, Marabá – PA, and Carolina - MA.

The predominant site of occurrence of the climate type B₁A’wa’ was in western Tocantins, near the border with the states of Pará, Mato Grosso, and Goiás, near the Amazon biome. Looking at the climatological water balance of the stations located to the west of Tocantins State, it was observed that the stations of São Félix do Xingu - PA and Vera - MT showed a moisture index in the range of 20 ≤ Iₓ < 40, influencing the region of influence of climate B₁ in the State of Tocantins. However, for the map obtained by cokriging, the climatic region B₁A’wa’ also occurs in the extreme southeast, which can be justified by the moisture index value of Taguatinga - TO, which was 27.2%. It is noteworthy that although the climate type is humid (B₁) due to intense water surplus during the rainy season (especially between December to March), there is a significant water deficiency in this climatic region during the winter months. This fact highlights possible difficulties for non-irrigation agriculture regarding the cultivation of two crops in the same year. Strategies such as crop-livestock integration may be pursued, where the implementation of the second crop (forage species) occurs even before the first crop is harvested, using the end of the rainy season to establish the forage.

The Tocantins Agroecological Zoning (EMBRAPA, 1999) and the Tocantins Atlas (SEPLAN, 2012) show different results compared to the present study for the Thornthwaite climatic regionalization in Tocantins State. Because the results of these studies, a discussion about the main differences observed is presented below.

In the southwest of the state, on Ilha do Bananal, the map of Embrapa shows the climate type B₂A’ra’.

On the other hand, for the same region, the present study and the Tocantins Atlas obtained the climate type B₁A’wa’. Although both are considered humid climates, there are important differences between them. In the climate B₁, the moisture index is higher than in B₂, and in subtype r the aridity index is smaller than in w subtype. As there are no INMET weather stations with normal data for validation of regionalization in the region of Bananal Island and Cantão State Park, the good spatial dependence presented by the regionalized climate indexes in the present study (Table 4) is considered to provide a first approximation of the climate type of this region.

For the Bico do Papagaio region in the far north of Tocantins, Embrapa (1999) delimited a climate type (C₂A’ra’), while Seplan (2012) delimited a climate type B₂A’wa’. Our kriging map results (Figure 3a) showed the climate type C₂A’wa’ was delimited for the entire region of Bico do Papagaio, which agrees with the classification obtained for the weather station of Marabá - PA. However, in the map generated by cokriging (Figure 3b), the eastern portion showed the climate type C₁A’wa’a’, characterized as dry subhumid. This may be explained by the greater range of spatial dependence of cokriging for the moisture index (Table 4), and the consequent effect of the stations of Imperatriz - MA, Grajaú – MA, and Barra do Corda - MA, located to the east of the region and that present a dry climate type.

As seen, the results of the present study showed that the climate C₂A’wa’ takes place in the central range, from the north to the south of the state. Contrasting the maps of Seplan (2012) and Embrapa (1999) for this climate type, it is found that although the class is also present in those studies, its delimitation is different. Another important issue is that the present study mapped a climate type dry subhumid (C₁). This characterizes the influence of the weather stations located in the Northeastern Brazil. This type of climate does not occur in the study developed by Seplan (2012), while in the study by Embrapa (1999) it occurs in the extreme southeast of the state.

CONCLUSIONS

- Cross-validation made it possible to verify the good performance of the geostatistical interpolators ordinary kriging and cokriging, in the mapping of climatic indexes associated with the Thornthwaite classification in the State of Tocantins. Based on the applied statistical coefficients, it was not possible to verify a better performance by cokriging, which can be attributed to the correlation classified as weak between the primary (climatic indexes) and the secondary (altitude) variables, except for PET, for which a strong correlation was obtained.

- The Thornthwaite climatic regionalization applied to Tocantins State indicated the presence of the following climate types: a) C₁A’wa’a’ (dry subhumid, megathermal, with large summer water surplus, and a temperature efficiency regime normal to megathermal) in the eastern end of Tocantins, near the border with the states of Bahia, Piauí, and Maranhão; b) C₂A’wa’ (moist subhumid, megathermal, with moderate winter water deficiency, and a temperature efficiency regime normal to megathermal) in the central range of the state, from north to south; and c) B₁A’wa’a’ (humid, megathermal, with moderate winter water deficiency, and a temperature efficiency regime normal to megathermal), especially in the southwest of the state, in the region of Bananal Island and Cantão State Park, near the borders with the states of Pará, Mato Grosso, and Goiás.
It is noteworthy to mention this Thornthwaite’s Climate map is considered a first approximation due to the low availability of normal meteorological data series for vast regions of the State of Tocantins, such as the regions of Bananal Island and Jalapão. Thus, as the state meteorological database expands, future research should focus on the development of a more detailed climate regionalization.
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