

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE WOOD *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* AND *Pinus oocarpa* for pencil production

Pedro Lício Loiola^{1*}, Ricardo Jorge Klitzke¹, Márcio Pereira da Rocha¹, Graziela Baptista Vidaurre²

¹Federal University of Paraná, Graduate Program in Forest Engineering, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil – pedrlcio@hotmail.com; rjkkklitzke@gmail.com; mprocha01@gmail.com

²Federal University of Espírito Santo, Graduate Program in Forest Sciences, Jeronimo Monteiro, Espírito Santo, Brazil – grazividaurre@gmail.com

Received for publication: 02/09/2019 – Accepted for publication: 10/12/2019

Resumo

Propriedades físicas das madeiras de Pinus caribaea var. *caribaea*, *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* e *Pinus oocarpa* para produção de lápis. O comportamento da propriedade física da madeira é importante para a sua utilização no setor industrial. A manufatura de produção de lápis requer matéria-prima com massa específica baixa e com elevada estabilidade dimensional. Este estudo teve por objetivo avaliar as propriedades físicas de massa específica básica, assim como, a retratibilidade das madeiras de *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea* de 14 anos, *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* de 25 anos e *Pinus oocarpa* de 35 anos, nos sentidos medula-casca em três classes de diâmetro. Todas as espécies são provenientes de povoamentos florestais localizados na região do Cerrado Brasileiro. A madeira de *P. oocarpa* teve a maior massa específica básica, enquanto o *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* apresentou o menor valor. Quanto a estabilidade dimensional, o *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* e *P. oocarpa* tiveram comportamentos semelhantes para a contração volumétrica e ao avaliar o coeficiente de anisotropia da madeira a espécie de *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* teve maiores valores de coeficiente de anisotropia, no entanto, todos os pinus tropicais estudados, se qualificam como matéria-prima para a indústria de lápis, sendo uma alternativa as espécies tradicionalmente utilizadas.

Palavras-chave: Qualidade da madeira. Massa específica. Estabilidade dimensional. pinus tropical. Lápis de madeira.

Abstract

The behavior of the physical properties of wood is important for its use in the industrial sector. Manufacturing for pencil production requires raw material with low specific mass and high dimensional stability. The objective of this study was to evaluate the properties of the physical specific basic mass properties, anhydrous and green, as well as the retractability of the 14 years old *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea*, 25 years old *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and 35 years old *Pinus oocarpa* in the medulla sense of the bark, base and top of the trees. All species come from the Brazilian Cerrado region afforestation. As for dimensional stability, the *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea* and *Pinus oocarpa* had similar behaviors to the volumetric contraction, when evaluating the coefficient of anisotropy of wood species of *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea* had higher values of anisotropy coefficient, however, all tropical pine studied, qualify as a raw material for the pencil industry, being an alternative species traditionally used.

Keywords: Quality of wood. Specific gravity. Dimensional stability. Tropical pine. Wood pencil.

INTRODUCTION

Among the various species of wood and of economic interest worldwide is *Pinus*, being used as a raw material for the forest-based industry. Due to the rapid growth of homogeneous Brazilian forest stands destined to the manufacture of solid wood products, the use of increasingly young trees is more and more frequent, which can compromise their formation and constitution and can compromise its formation and constitution, such as its anatomical structure, chemical composition and physical properties (VIDAURRE *et al.*, 2013, BRAZ *et al.*, 2014).

The properties of the wood may vary depending on the planting region (quality of site), age, growth rate and type of wood (juvenile or adult wood). The physical properties of wood of various species change according to the growth radius of the tree and the portion of wood corresponding to the first growth rings; features thinner cell walls, shorter tracheids, characterizing differences between juvenile and adult wood, according to reports by Juízo *et al.* (2015).

The knowledge of the behavior of the dimensional variations of the wood offers technical subsidies for the behavior of the raw material in its use in service. The relations between physical properties and the water-wood ratio are of fundamental importance for a more efficient use of wood, as well as the level of acceptable quality for the manufactured product (PAES *et al.*, 2013; LOIOLA *et al.*, 2019). For example, the manufacture of pencils, whose problems of dimensional instability, provide rework on the product, which has benefit throughout the production chain. The occurrences of wood warps are related to dimensional variations and are caused by the

difference between the anatomical contractions of the wood, as well as the presence of juvenile wood (PAES *et al.*, 2013). Vidaurre *et al.* (2013) also add that the compression wood is characterized by a higher content of lignin and less cellulose, which can influence the hygroscopicity of the wood, since lignin is a more hydrophobic component than cellulose molecules.

In this context, Trianoski *et al.* (2013), Paes *et al.* (2013) and Juízo *et al.* (2015) have studied the physical properties for being easy to determine and one of the main criteria for assessing the quality of wood, which can be related to the behavior of the final product for the pencil manufacturing industry. Therefore, this work evaluated the physical properties of specific mass as well as the shrinkage of 14 years old *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea*, 25 years old *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and 35 years old *Pinus oocarpa*, in the medulla-bark directions in 3 diameter classes for the production of pencils.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Characterization of the material

Two species of *Pinus caribaea* (*P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* and *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis*) and one species of *Pinus oocarpa* were studied. The 14 years old *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* comes from a forest massif belonging to the company Duratex S.A., located in the city of Nova Ponte-MG (19.1466° S, 47.6784° W). The 25 years old *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* comes from a forest massif belonging to the company A.W. Faber-Castell S.A., located in the city of Prata-MG (19.3100° S, 48.9277° W). The 35 years old *P. oocarpa* comes from a massive forest belonging to the company Vale do Rio Grande Reflorestamento Ltda, located in the city of Catalão-GO (18.1661° S, 47.9445° W).

For the physical characterization of the studied wood of the species of *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* and *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis*, the trees were harvested and cut to a length of 2.40 m and segregated into three diametric classes: class 1 (14.0 to 18.0 cm), class 2 (18.1 to 25.0 cm) and class 3 (25.1 to 38.0 cm), in the log yard of the company A.W. Faber-Castell. As for the species of *P. oocarpa*, the logs were also cut to a length of 2.40m and segregated in the yard of the Vale do Rio Grande Reflorestamento Company, located in the city of Catalão-GO.

The log splitting of *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* and *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* was carried out at the sawmill of AW Faber-Castell, located in the city of Prata-MG, where the main splitting occurred in a twin-axed circular saw, forming semi-blocks and then blocks, also in a twin-axed circular saw. The log splitting of *P. oocarpa* was carried out in the sawmill belonging to Vale do Rio Grande Reflorestamento, where the main splitting took place in a semi-circular band saw, forming the semi-block and later, block in a twin-axis circular saw. The cut models for both companies are the same and for each diameter class the companies use specific cut models, producing blocks of different dimensions in width and thickness. In order to standardize for each diameter class, the same dimensions of the blocks produced were always used for the physical characterization of contraction and specific density of the wood (Table 1).

Tabela 1. Caracterização física da madeira de *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* e *P. oocarpa*
Table 1. Physical characterization of wood from *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and *P. oocarpa*

Diametric class	Block dimensions		Physical characterization of wood
	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)	
Class 1	120	84	Close to the bark Close to the medulla
Class 2	165	84	Close to the bark Close to the medulla
Class 3	198	84	Close to the bark Close to the medulla

Of the blocks used for the physical characterization of the wood, the region close to the bark and close to the medulla was identified. These were sectioned in half in their thickness (parallel to the growth rings), and in this way, two predominant regions of samples were obtained (close to the medulla and close to the bark).

Physical properties of wood

The determination of the physical properties of basic, anhydrous (0%) and green specific mass and the tangential, radial and volumetric contractions of the species of *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and *P. oocarpa* were carried out according to the COPANT – 461 (1972). For this purpose, 80 repetitions were used for each treatment evaluated, totaling 480 samples in the dimensions of 25 x 25 x 100 mm (radial x tangential x longitudinal). To determine the dry weight, the samples were placed in a forced ventilation

oven at a temperature of 103 ± 2 °C, until they were completely dry. For greater control of drying, the loss of mass of the specimens was monitored to constant mass.

The contractions of the wood were considered as an index of dimensional stability determined for each of the orientation planes (radial, tangential and volumetric) according to their dimensions, observed when the wood was in the green state and for the dry condition (0% humidity), associated with the anisotropy coefficient for each region of the log evaluated.

Analysis and evaluation of results

For the analysis and evaluation of the results of dimensional stability tests of tropical pine woods, a completely randomized design was used. For the analysis and evaluation of the results, an electronic spreadsheet and statistical program (Minitab®) were used.

For the factors and interaction detected as significant by the F test ($p \leq 0.05$), the Tukey test ($p \leq 0.05$) was used, with first tests of normality of data and the homogeneity Bartlett's test variances between treatments. When necessary to homogenize the variances, the data were transformed into arcsen [root (loss of mass/100)].

RESULTS

Basic wood specific mass

The results for the basic specific mass, anhydrous and green for the studied tropical pine species are in Tabela 1. Valores referentes a massa específica básica, anidra e verde da madeira das espécies de *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* e *P. oocarpa*
Table 2. Values referring to the basic, anhydrous and green specific mass of the species of *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and *P. oocarpa* wood

Species	Basic specific mass [g.cm ⁻³]	Anhydrous specific mass (0%) [g.cm ⁻³]	Green specific mass [g.cm ⁻³]
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>caribaea</i>	0.344 a (0.04; 12.60%)	0.375 a (0.05; 13.66%)	0.891 a (0.14; 15.70%)
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>hondurensis</i>	0.398 b (0.05; 12.83%)	0.446 b (0.08; 19.29%)	0.922 b (0.11; 12.32%)
<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	0.463 c (0.06; 13.60%)	0.514 c (0.07; 13.80%)	0.935 b (0.17; 18.53%)
Significance fator	575.48*	432.56*	11.72*

Note: Values in parentheses correspond to standard deviation and coefficient of variation, respectively. Averages followed by the same lowercase letter (vertical) do not differ statistically from each other (Tukey, $p \geq 0.05$).

Variation of basic density by diametric class and log position

The average results obtained for the basic density as a function of the diametric class for the species of *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, there is a statistical difference only for the largest class evaluated (class 3), in the medulla-bark sense. For the other diametric classes (class 1 and class 2), there was no statistical difference (TABLE 3).

Tabela 3. Massa específica básica da madeira de *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* em função da classe diamétrica e posição na tora

Table 4. Specific gravity of *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* wood according to the diametric class and position

<i>P. caribaea</i> var. <i>caribaea</i>	Basic specific mass [g.cm ⁻³]	
	Close to the medulla	Close to the bark
Class 1	0.316 bA (0.03; 10.00%)	0.322 cA (0.03; 10.10%)
Class 2	0.347 aA (0.03; 8.56%)	0.368 bA (0.03; 9.13%)
Class 3	0.325 bB (0.01; 4.05%)	0.385 aA (0.06; 16.60%)
Significance factor	14.71*	

Values in parentheses correspond to the standard deviation and coefficient of variation, respectively. Averages followed by the same letter, uppercase horizontally or lowercase vertically, within each factor, do not differ (Tukey, $p > 0.05$).

The average results obtained for the basic specific mass in the medulla-bark direction for the species of *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis*, there was a statistical difference in all diametric classes (TABLE 4).

Tabela 5. Massa específica básica da madeira de *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* em função da posição na tora

Table 6. Specific gravity of *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* wood according to the diametric class and position

<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>hondurensis</i>	Basic specific mass [g.cm ⁻³]	
	Diametric class	Close to the medulla
Class 1	0.376 aB (0.03; 7.60%)	0.456 aA (0.04; 8.85%)
Class 2	0.389 aB (0.04; 11.44%)	0.432 bA (0.05; 11.62%)
Class 3	0.357 bB (0.03; 8.60%)	0.393 cA (0.04; 10.61%)
Significance factor	11.10*	

Values in parentheses correspond to the standard deviation and coefficient of variation, respectively. Averages followed by the same letter, uppercase horizontally or lowercase vertically, within each factor, do not differ (Tukey, $p > 0.05$).

The average results obtained for the basic specific mass in the medulla-bark direction; there was a statistical difference in all diametric classes (TABLE 5). Similar results were observed in both varieties of the species *P. caribaea* (*caribaea* and *hondurensis*).

Tabela 7. Massa específica básica da madeira de *P. oocarpa* em função da posição na tora

Table 8. Specific gravity of *P. oocarpa* wood according to the diametric class and position

<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	Basic specific mass [g.cm ⁻³]	
	Diametric class	Close to the medulla
Class 1	0.390 cB (0.02; 5.94%)	0.427 bA (0.06; 13.95%)
Class 2	0.434 aB (0.03; 7.20%)	0.502 bA (0.03; 9.43%)
Class 3	0.465 bB (0.07; 15.95%)	0.494 bA (0.06; 11.40%)
Significance factor	7.08*	

Note: Values in parentheses correspond to the standard deviation and coefficient of variation, respectively. Averages followed by the same letter, uppercase horizontally or lowercase vertically, within each factor, do not differ (Tukey, $p > 0.05$).

Contraction of the woods

The average results obtained for total contractions, the species of *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea* showed the lowest values among the evaluated tropical pine, differing statistically from the others. However, the average anisotropy coefficient was higher than the other species of tropical pine used to make pencils. Such results may be related to the lower age for this variety of tropical pine (TABLE 6).

abela 9. Contração da madeira de *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* e *P. oocarpa*

Table 10. Contraction from *P. Caribaea* var. *Caribaea*, *P. Caribaea* var. *Hondurensis* and *P. Oocarpa* wood

Species	Wood contraction (%)			
	Radial	Tangential	Volumetric	Anisotropy coefficient
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>caribaea</i>	2.86 a (1.01; 35.40)	5.39 a (1.23; 22.75)	8.25 a (1.79; 21.63)	1.88 b
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>hondurensis</i>	3.90 b (1.19; 30.45)	6.02 b (1.73; 28.69)	9.93 b (2.55; 25.73)	1.54 a
<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	3.87 b (1.12; 28.98)	6.19 b (1.52; 24.59)	10.07 b (2.12; 21.06)	1.60 a
Significance factor	95.83*	35.03*	128.55*	61.08*

Values in parentheses correspond to the standard deviation and coefficient of variation, respectively. Averages followed by the same lowercase letter (vertical) do not differ statistically from each other (Tukey, $p > 0.05$).

Variation of wood contraction by diameter class and log position

For the contraction analysis of *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, it is observed that the logs with diameter from 14.0 to 25.0 cm (classes 1 and 2) and for the woods located close to the bark had the highest indexes (8.52 and 9.82%). While the wood next to the bark of logs of greater diameter (class 3) contracted 5% less than that located close to the medulla (TABLE 7).

The contraction analysis *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis*, the values obtained for the smallest diametric class (class 1) are observed the values obtained for the smaller diametric class (class 1) that the wood located near the

medulla provided smaller contractions (3.32, 5.37 and 8.68%), for the radial, tangential and volumetric direction in relation to the values obtained for the wood close to the bark. However, when analyzing the anisotropic factor, it is noted that the wood located near the medulla, had the highest anisotropy coefficients, however this variation corresponds to 6.17%.

As for the results obtained for the contraction of the *P. oocarpa*, it is generally noted that the species evaluated has greater dimensional stability, despite the fact that wood has the highest basic specific mass among all the tropical pine trees evaluated for pencil production (TABLE 7).

Tabela 11. Contração da madeira de *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* e *P. oocarpa* em função da classe diamétrica e posição na tora

Table 12. Contraction from *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and *P. oocarpa* wood according to the diametric class and position

<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>caribaea</i>	Log position	Wood contraction (%)			Anisotropy coefficient
		Radial	Tangential	Volumetric	
Class 1	Medulla	2.77 (0.45; 16.11%)	4.24 (0.61; 14.40%)	7.00 (0.81; 11.61%)	1.53
	Bark	2.91 (0.75; 25.86%)	5.61 (1.01; 18.63%)	8.52 (1.66; 19.51%)	1.92
Class 2	Medulla	3.51 (0.77; 21.90%)	4.99 (0.94; 18.80%)	8.50 (1.37; 16.07%)	1.42
	Bark	3.17 (0.86; 27.10%)	6.66 (1.19; 17.82%)	9.82 (1.64; 16.66%)	2.10
Class 3	Medulla	2.74 (1.03; 37.80%)	4.95 (0.82; 16.58%)	7.68 (1.33; 17.31%)	1.81
	Bark	2.13 (1.02; 47.74%)	5.10 (0.94; 18.40%)	7.23 (1.56; 21.56%)	2.39
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>hondurensis</i>	Log position	Wood contraction (%)			Anisotropy coefficient
Class 1	Medulla	3.32 (1.10; 33.08%)	5.37 (1.47; 27.25%)	8.68 (2.10; 24.26%)	1.62
	Bark	4.29 (1.28; 29.80%)	6.50 (1.76; 27.04%)	10.74 (2.39; 22.24%)	1.52
Class 2	Medulla	3.21 (0.91; 28.38%)	4.47 (1.17; 26.10%)	7.68 (1.94; 25.29%)	1.39
	Bark	4.99 (0.99; 17.95%)	7.75 (0.81; 10.51%)	12.73 (1.45; 11.36%)	1.55
Class 3	Medulla	3.90 (1.42; 36.50%)	4.97 (1.41; 28.30%)	8.87 (2.35; 26.44%)	1.36
	Bark	4.80 (0.91; 18.87%)	6.63 (1.16; 17.44%)	11.43 (1.41; 12.35%)	1.38
<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	Log position	Wood contraction (%)			Anisotropy coefficient
Class 1	Medulla	3.98 (0.81; 26.30%)	5.27 (1.51; 28.73%)	8.34 (1.64; 19.71%)	1.32
	Bark	4.23 (1.05; 24.80%)	6.72 (1.29; 19.26%)	10.94 (1.74; 15.89%)	1.58
Class 2	Medulla	4.29 (0.89; 20.65%)	6.33 (1.33; 21.06%)	10.62 (1.47; 13.84%)	1.48
	Bark	3.50 (0.84; 23.96%)	6.33 (1.22; 19.35%)	9.82 (1.83; 18.60%)	1.81
Class 3	Medulla	3.32 (1.10; 33.08%)	5.37 (1.47; 27.25%)	8.68 (2.10; 24.26%)	1.62
	Bark	4.29 (1.28; 29.80%)	6.50 (1.76; 27.04%)	10.74 (2.39; 22.24%)	1.52

Note: Values in parentheses correspond to the standard deviation and coefficient of variation, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Basic specific mass of species

It is observed (TABLE 2), the average results obtained for the basic specific mass, in which the wood of *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea* presented the lowest values among the species evaluated (0.344 g.cm^{-3}). Such results may be related to the younger age of the tree. The specific mass is one of the properties of interest in the manufacture of pencils, since soft woods provide the feeling of comfort for sharpenability (lower torque), in addition to facilitating the stages of the productive process of machining, gluing and finishing (surface painting and printing). The differences between specific masses were 13.56% compared to *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and 25.70% when compared to *P. oocarpa* wood.

Regarding the classification of the basic specific mass of the species studied, the woods of *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea* and *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* can be classified as very light woods and the wood of *Pinus oocarpa* as light, according to Carvalho (1996). For the manufacture of wooden pencils, the basic density must present values that characterize it as light woods, as it presents low resistance when sharpening the pencil. However, the manufactured product must have sufficient flexural strength so that the tip does not break when used in service, there is an inclination of 60° , as well as the graphite (lead) break caused by the force of writing and coloring (KAYGIN *et al.*, 2015).

There is a wide range for the specific mass of the species studied, according to reports by Moraes Neto and Melo (2008) and Amorim *et al.* (2013). The main cause of this specific mass variation is related to the species' characteristics, wood moisture content, proportion of initial or late wood, width of growth rings, tree growth site and silvicultural tracts (KOLLMANN; CÔTÉ JUNIOR, 1968; KLITZKE, 2007; TRIANOSKI *et al.*, 2013).

When comparing with species traditionally used for the production of pencils (cedar, gmelina and poplar), the average results obtained for the basic specific mass in this study are close to the wood of *Toona ciliata* (Australian cedar) reported by Pereyra *et al.* (2006), Albino *et al.* (2010) and Trianoski *et al.* (2014), which provided values from 0.366 to 0.422 g.cm^{-3} . For *Gmelina arborea*, the values obtained in the literature range from 0.432 to 0.603 g.cm^{-3} as reported by Espinoza (2004) and Moya and Tomazello Filho (2009). The wood of *Populus tremula*, Kaygin *et al.* (2015) reported values of up to 0.400 g.cm^{-3} . In view of the basic specific mass values of the species of *Toona ciliata* and *Gmelina arborea*, tropical pine woods have the potential to be used as raw material for making pencils.

Variation of basic density by diametric class and log position

When evaluating the basic specific mass for the species *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, the wood belonging to the medullary region of the logs, there is a difference of 8.93% between the highest basic density (class 2) and the lowest class (class 1). As for the wood belonging to the ends of the log, the difference between the highest basic specific mass (class 3) and the lowest (class 1) was 16.36% (TABLE 3). In production processes, the standardization of the finished product is a way of maintaining the competitiveness of the industrial segment, with the segregation of wood due to its location in the medulla-bark sense as a method of controlling the acceptable quality level (AQL) of the finished product. The woods close to the bark are approximately 18% denser than those close to the medulla; consequently, pencils originating in this region tend to be more resistant to sharpening. The results obtained for the basic specific mass in the medulla-bark sense in this study, are consistent with those provided by Iwakiri *et al.* (2010) when using the species for the production of particulate panels, obtained values of 0.405 g.cm^{-3} in his experiments. While, Trianoski *et al.* (2012) when assessing the stability of tropical pine wood, reported values of 0.411 g.cm^{-3} in their studies.

For the *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* species, the wood located near the medulla is approximately 22% lighter than the wood located at the ends of the trunk. Like, *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, the *hondurensis* variety has distinct technological properties among the pencils produced from lamellae in the outermost region, which may present greater resistance in their sharpenability (TABLE 4). This difference in basic specific mass for the outermost wood of the trunk and between the diametric classes may be related to the randomness of the sampling and the heterogeneity of the forest stand. Since the class with the smallest diameter (class 1) does not necessarily correspond to the logs belonging to the individuals' shaft, concomitantly, the class with the largest diameter (class 3) is not necessarily base logs in their entirety. In forest stands from seeds, there is a heterogeneity of individuals (dominant trees and dominated trees).

The values available in the literature of basic specific mass for the species of *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* vary between 0.321 to 0.531 g.cm^{-3} , according to studies by Aroni and Rezende (2007), González *et al.* (2009) and Trianoski *et al.* (2012) for trees from 18 to 28 years old.

As for the *Pinus oocarpa* species, the average results obtained for the wood close to the medulla, there is a statistical difference between all diametric classes, increasing with the increase in the diameter of the logs. Woods originating from logs with a larger diameter (class 3) are 16% denser than woods belonging to the thinnest logs. As for the woods located near the bark, there was no statistical difference between the diametric classes, by the Tukey test at 95% probability (TABLE 5).

The *P. oocarpa* species had the highest basic specific mass (0.463 g.cm^{-3}) among the evaluated tropical pine used for making pencils. The values available in the literature of basic specific mass for the species of *P. oocarpa* from between 0.431 to 0.564 g.cm^{-3} , according to studies by Trianoski *et al.* (2012); Trianoski *et al.* (2013).

Contraction of species

The magnitude of contraction for all species (TABLE 6) is in agreement, according to reports by Kollmann and Côté Junior (1968) for *softwood*, which report variations from 2.1 to 5.1% respectively for the radial direction, 4.4 to 9.1% for the tangential direction and 6.5 to 14% for the total volumetric contraction of the wood. The dimensional variation usually occurs in wood of greater specific mass, explained by the greater quantity of wood per unit of volume (OLIVEIRA *et al.*, 2010). This fact was evidenced for the *Pinus oocarpa*, which had a total contraction of 10.07% and a higher basic specific mass (0.463 g.cm^{-3}).

The total volumetric contraction (sum of the radial and tangential contraction), mean values of 8.25, 9.93 and 10.07%, respectively for *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*, *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and *P. oocarpa*, highlighting the low values for volumetric contraction. The anisotropy coefficient (ratio between tangential and radial contraction), of the evaluated tropical pine, found values ranging from 1.54 to 1.88, respectively for *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea*. The woods of *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and *P. oocarpa* did not differ statistically according to the Tukey test at the level of 5% probability.

For the evaluation of the dimensional stability of the wood, it must always take into account the total volumetric contraction, together with the anisotropy coefficient, as its evaluation in an isolated way does not characterize a species as being dimensionally stable. Kollmann and Côté Junior (1968) emphasize that high values of the anisotropy coefficient can come from low tangential and radial contractions, which proves to be a wood with high dimensional instability.

The dimensional movement and the differences between the radial and tangential contractions are physical properties of interest in the manufacture of pencils, since the pencil manufacturing process takes place by gluing two slides and the tolerance for bending is 0.5 mm along the length of the pencil. In view of the results obtained, it can be predicted that the studied tropical pine species qualify as raw material for pencils.

Variation of the contraction of the wood by diametric class and position in the log

For the *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea* in general (TABLE 7), the volumetric contraction rates are low, regardless of the region where the wood is located (near the medulla or the bark). The understanding of the physical property of the contraction of wood destined for the production of lamellae for the manufacture of pencils becomes important, due to the quantity of lamellae and pencils produced from a log. Because it is a product of small dimensions, each lamella is likely to produce up to ten grooves, consequently up to ten pencils.

For wood of higher diametric class (class 3), greater stability is noted for the portion close to the medulla, however, this region provided greater dimensional variation in the radial, tangential and volumetric directions. However, when evaluating the anisotropy coefficient, it is noticed that the wood near the medulla has greater dimensional stability.

For the ratio between the differences in tangential and radial contraction, it is noted that the wood located near the medulla showed the lowest values, providing greater stability than that located near the bark. This fact is related to the specific mass of this type of wood and the statements by Kollmann and Côté Junior (1968) and Oliveira *et al.* (2010), in which they mention that the contraction in the tangential direction oscillates around twice that occurred in the radial direction. These differences in contraction of the wood in its anatomical planes can be attributed to the constitution of the microscopic and sub-microscopic structures of the material itself, as these are arranged vertically in the radial direction in relation to the tangential direction, making the number of cell walls per volume unit to be much smaller in the radial direction.

In view of the results obtained for the volumetric contraction and the anisotropy coefficient, the wood of the 14 years old *P. caribaea* var. *caribaea* can be considered low in both regions of the log (medulla and bark). There are not many reports in the literature on the physical contraction properties of *Pinus caribaea* var. *caribaea* wood; however, it is necessary to compare the results obtained in this research with those reported by Trianoski *et al.* (2013), when studying the dimensional stability of tropical pine species, reported values of 2.62, 6.01 and 8.69% respectively for the radial, tangential and volumetric directions and an anisotropic coefficient of 2.45.

As for the *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* species, similar results are perceived when evaluating the second diametric class in which, woods located near the medulla, have the lowest volumetric contraction indices, as well as the anisotropy coefficient. It is noticed that the *Pinus caribaea* *hondurensis* variety has desirable characteristics as a raw material for the manufacture of pencils, depending on the dimensional variation indices of the wood, combined with the anisotropy coefficient of the medullary wood, as well as for the wood located near the bark. In general, the species of *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* can be considered as stable wood according to

Klitzke (2007), and with a low tendency to defects in the drying process and even to sudden variations in its hygroscopic equilibrium humidity.

For wood also from the cerrado, Santos *et al.* (2012), found radial, tangential and volumetric contractions of 4.12, 6.34 and 10.46%, respectively, and anisotropic factor of 1.54. Trianoski *et al.* (2013), when evaluating the dimensional stability of tropical pine species, reported values of 2.42, 5.74 and 8.95% respectively for the radial, tangential and volumetric directions and an anisotropic coefficient of 2.52. While, González *et al.* (2018) found a contraction of 4.92, 6.00 and 10.86% (radial, tangential and volumetric) for wood with a higher percentage of initial wood. While, for the woods with a higher percentage of final wood, the authors reported values of 6.53, 7.96 and 14.14% for the radial, tangential and volumetric directions of the wood.

As for the results obtained for the contraction of the *P. oocarpa* wood, it is noted in general that the species evaluated has low dimensional movement, despite the wood having the highest basic specific mass among all the tropical pine evaluated for the production of pencils. The wood belonging to the thinnest logs (class 1) had the lowest volumetric contraction rates (average of 9.64%) as well as the anisotropy coefficient, with an average value of 1.45. However, when evaluating the second diametric class in which, woods located close to the medulla, presented the highest volumetric contraction indexes, in comparison to the one located close to the bark for this same diametric class. For the third diametric class, the woods located close to the medulla, had the lowest volumetric contraction rates.

For the anisotropic coefficient, the species of *P. oocarpa*, according to the classification suggested by Klitzke (2007), can be considered as stable, with a tendency to a low propensity for defects in the drying process and even to sudden variations in its hygroscopic equilibrium humidity. The greater the number of ray cells, which constitute the anatomical structure of the wood, both in the direction of the medulla-bark, both along the shaft, the greater the contribution in terms of the restriction of the dimensional variation in the radial direction. However, other factors such as the radial dimensions of the tracheids and chemical differentiation between the radial and tangential cell walls can also be decisive factors in the influence of the anisotropy of the retractability of the wood.

The importance of understanding the characteristic of the 35 years old wood for the pencil industry occurs due to the predictability of the pencil produced, both in the region of medullary wood and in the external region of the log, as well as its characteristics of warping and resistance to torque in its sharpenability. Trianoski *et al.* (2013), in their studies regarding the dimensional stability of the species, reported values of 2.89, 6.47 and 10.22% respectively for the radial, tangential and volumetric directions and an anisotropic coefficient of 2.45. Whereas, Cavalheiro *et al.* (2016), when evaluating the density relationship in the physical properties of wood contraction, found contractions of 6.47, 9.89 and 17.10% (radial, tangential and volumetric) and anisotropic coefficient of 1.53. It appears that the results obtained by the authors were superior to those found in this research and the probable differences are associated with the aspects of age, specific mass, sampling, growth conditions and, consequently, different origins, which were not mentioned.

CONCLUSIONS

- Tropical pine woods are classified as light woods, characterizing them as raw material for pencil production.
- The species of *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and *P. oocarpa* showed statistical difference for the basic specific mass for the woods near the bark and marrow.
- The dimensional stability of tropical pine wood meets the acceptable quality level for the manufacture of pencils.
- The tropical pine woods studied can be considered as an alternative to the species traditionally used in the pencil industry.

REFERENCES

- ALBINO, V. C. S.; SÁ, V. A.; BUFALINO, L.; MENDES, L. M.; ALMEIDA, N. A. Avaliação das propriedades físico-mecânicas de painéis compensados de *Toona ciliata* M. Roem. var. *australis*. **Cerne**, Lavras, v. 17, p. 103-108, 2010.
- AMORIM, P.G. R.; GONÇALEZ, J. C.; GONÇALVES, R.; TELES, R. F.; SOUZA, F. Ultrasound waves for assessing the technological properties of *Pinus caribaea* var *hondurensis* and *Eucalyptus grandis* wood. **Maderas. Ciencia y Tecnología**, Concepcion, v. 15, p. 2-10, 2013.
- ARONI, A.S.; REZENDE, M.A. Avaliação dos índices de produtividade do híbrido do *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* x *Pinus tecunumanii*, no estado de São Paulo. **Energia Agrícola**, Piracicaba, v. 22, n.1, p. 17- 32, 2007.

- BRAZ, R. L.; OLIVEIRA, J. T. S.; ROSADO, A. M.; VIDAURRE, G. B.; PAES, J. B.; TOMAZELLO FILHO, M.; LOIOLA, P. L. Caracterização anatômica, física e química da madeira de clones de *Eucalyptus* cultivados em áreas sujeitas à ação de ventos. **Ciência da Madeira**, Pelotas, v. 5, p. 127-137, 2014.
- CARVALHO, A. Denominações convencionais para propriedades da madeira. In: Carvalho, A. **Tecnologia das indústrias da madeira III** – Na2. Vol. I. Lisboa. 1996. 5p.
- CAVALHEIRO, R. S.; ALMEIDA, D. H.; ALMEIDA, T. H.; CHRISTOFORO, A. L.; LAHR, F. A. R. Density as estimator of shrinkage for some brazilian wood species. **International Journal of Materials Engineering**, Rosemead, v. 6, p. 107-112, 2016.
- COMISSÃO PANAMERICANA DE NORMAS TÉCNICAS. **COPANT 461**. Determinación del peso específico aparente. 1972. 8p.
- GONÇALEZ, J.C.; SANTOS, N.; SILVA, F. G.; SOUZA, R. S.; PAULA, M. H. Growth ring width of *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis* and its relationship with wood proprieties. **Scientia Forestalis**, Piracicaba, v. 46, p. 309-317, 2018.
- GONÇALEZ, J.C.; VIEIRA, F.S.; CAMARGOS, J.A.A.; ZERBINI, N.J. Influência do sítio nas propriedades da madeira de *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis*. **Cerne**, Lavras, v. 15, n. 2, p. 251-255, 2009.
- IWAKIRI, S.; MATOS, J.L.M.; PINTO, J.A.; VIANA, L.C.; SOUZA, M.M.; TRIANOSKI, R.; ALMEIDA, V.C. Produção de painéis laminados unidirecionais LVL com lâminas de *Schizolobium amazonicum*, *Eucalyptus saligna* e *Pinus taeda*. **Cerne**, Lavras, v. 16, n. 4, p. 557 - 563, 2010.
- KAYGIN, B.; KAPLAN, D.; AYDEMIR, D. *Paulownia* tree as an alternative raw material for pencil manufacturing. **BioResources**, v.10, n.2, p. 3426 –3433, 2015.
- KLITZKE, R. J. Secagem da madeira. In: José Tarcísio da Silva Oliveira, Nilton César Fiedler, Marcelo Nogueira. (Org.). **Tecnologias aplicadas ao setor madeireiro**. Visconde do Rio Branco: Suprema Gráfica e Editora Ltda, 2007, v. 1, p. 271-366.
- KOLLMANN, F. E. P.; CÔTE JUNIOR, W. A. **Principles of wood science and technology**. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1968. V.1, 592p.
- LOIOLA, P. L.; MARCHESAN, R.; FRANCA, M. C.; JUIZO, C. G.; ROCHA, M. P.; KLITZKE, R. J. Yield of a portable sawmill and wood drying of *Hovenia dulcis* in conventional kiln. **Revista Floresta**, Curitiba, v. 49, p. 79-88, 2019.
- MENDES, L. M.; IWAKIRI, S.; MATOS, J. L. M.; KEINERT JR, S.; SALDANHA, L. K. *Pinus spp.* na produção de painéis de partículas orientadas (OSB). **Ciência Florestal**, Santa Maria, v. 12, n.2, p. 135-145, 2002.
- MORAES NETO, S.P.; DUBOC, E. Parâmetros genéticos da densidade básica da madeira de *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis*. **Boletim de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento**, n. 213, 2008, 18p.
- MOYA, R.; TOMAZELLO FILHO, M. Wood density variation and tree ring demarcation in *Gmelina arborea* trees using X-ray densitometry. **Cerne**, Lavras, v. 15, p. 92–100. 2009.
- OLIVEIRA, J. T. S.; TOMAZELLO FILHO, M.; FIEDLER, N. C. Avaliação da retratibilidade da madeira de sete espécies de *Eucalyptus*. **Revista Árvore**, Viçosa, v.34, n.5, p. 929 - 936. 2010.
- PAES, J. B.; LOIOLA, P. L.; EUFLOSINO, A. E. R.; SALVADOR, F. M.; VITORIA, J. B. Efeito de soluções salinas na estabilidade dimensional da madeira de kiri (*Paulownia tomentosa*). **Revista Científica Eletrônica de Engenharia Florestal**, Garça, v. 21, p. 72-84, 2013.
- PEREYRA, O.; SUIREZS, T. M.; PITSCH, C.; BAEZ, R. Estudio de las propiedades físico-mecánicas y comportamiento em procesos industriales de La madera de kiri, grevilea, paraíso y toona. **Revista Floresta**, Curitiba, v. 36, n. 2, p. 213-223, 2006.
- SANTOS, C. M. T.; DEL MENEZZI, C. H. S.; SOUZA, M. R. Properties of thermo-mechanically treated wood from *Pinus caribaea* var. *hondurensis*. **BioResources**, v.7, p. 1850 – 1865, 2012.
- TRIANOSKI, R.; MATOS, J. L. M.; IWAKIRI, S.; PRATA, J. G. Avaliação da estabilidade dimensional de espécies de *Pinus* tropicais. **Floresta e Ambiente**, Seropédica, v. 20, p. 398-406, 2013.
- TRIANOSKI, R.; MATOS, J. L. M.; IWAKIRI, S. Propriedades físicas, químicas e mecânicas da madeira de cedro australiano cultivado em Corupá, SC. **Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira**, Colombo, v. 34, p. 435-441, 2014.
- VIDAURRE, G. B.; LOMBARDI, L. R.; NUTTO, L.; NISTAL, F. J. F.; OLIVEIRA, J. T. S.; ARANTES, M. D. C. Propriedades da madeira de reação. **Floresta e Ambiente**, Seropédica, v. 20, p. 1-37, 2013.