ANALYSIS OF FUEL CONSUMPTION SENSITIVITY IN FORESTRY ROAD TRANSPORT
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Abstract

The objective of this work was to analyze how the variation of traveled distance, operational speed, journey time and net cargo transported influence the fuel consumption of vehicles in forest road transport. The data were collected in this operation, covering the area between the regions of Campo do Tenente (forest unit) and Piên (industrial unit), in the state of Paraná. We analyzed the partial elements, loaded trip and empty trip, as well as the total transport cycle (loading, unloading and travel). Using the same mechanical tractor, two vehicular compositions were studied, an articulated vehicle (truck tractor + semitrailer + trailer) and a conjugated vehicle (truck tractor + semitrailer). The vehicle telemetric system was used to perform the data collection of travel time, traveled distance, speed average and fuel consumption of the both vehicles. The results showed that the higher fuel consumption occurred in the loaded trips for longer distances. The sensitivity of fuel consumption was mostly influenced by was traveled distance, so it is recommended the use of vehicles of less load capacity for shorter distances.
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Introduction

Harvesting and transporting of wood are generally the costliest operations in the forest production chain. Transportation is impacted by the impossibility of using vehicles with higher load capacity due to the poor quality of roads, especially in terms of slopes and steep declivity and pavement quality standards (FIEDLER, 2018).

The road modal has been privileged in public policy decisions, probably due to the absence of long-term cost analysis (ELLER et al., 2011). In relation to Brazilian log transport, shipment costs account for up to 60% of the total logistics cost (ALVES et al., 2013).

In this process a large volume of wood is moved through rural and urban (paved) roads (ANTONIADE et al., 2012). In the case of log handling, the characteristics of load specificity and shipment exclusivity allow the vehicle to operate loaded in only one direction, causing costs to become larger per unit volume (MACHADO et al., 2009). The empty route does not directly contribute to the company’s profit, but is essential for the continuity of operations and maintenance of the level of service. The distribution of vehicles to balance supply and demand
in future periods is a central component of planning and one of the major challenges for fleet management (VASCO and MORABICO, 2014).

The companies providing forest road transport services have high costs with machines and direct implements, a small number of employees, however, with high salaries, and still present smaller proportions of fixed costs in relation to the variables (QUADROS and MALINOVIŠKI, 2012).

For Silva et al. (2007), forest supply management studies should be conducted to identify the type of vehicle best suited for transporting timber from a particular region or enterprise. Another problem is related to the maximum feasible distance traveled, since the high cost has great power to make an entire reforestation project unviable with the extension of the consumer center.

A constant doubt in the planning of the forest transport consists of the choice of the best vehicular composition of load, selection of the mechanical horse related to the engine, tractive maximum capacity and volume of the fuel tank (BENETTI et al., 2018).

Two of the configurations commonly used in Brazilian forest road transportation are the wagon and the road train. The first, or articulated vehicle, has two units, a tractor (mechanical horse) and a semi-trailer, a unit with one or more rear axles. When coupling one more unit, a trailer, which moves traction, this vehicle receives the denomination of road train (road train) (MACHADO et al., 2009; GUIMARÃES et al., 2016a).

In addition to the concern with the fleet, road quality interferes with user safety, speed and operational cost. The reason for this observation is the high density of roads observed in reforestation areas, encumber the management of these roads (CARMO et al., 2013, EMMERT et al., 2010).

Based on the assumption that the difference between the load composition of the road train and for the wagon is the insertion of one more unit (trailer), the increase in the distance traveled, the operational speed, duration and quantity of logs transported per transport cycle cause changes in consumption of vehicles.

The objective of this work was to analyze the fuel consumption in function of the variation of the variables – distance traveled, average speed, duration and liquid load carried – of two vehicle load compositions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Data were collected on the operational system of forest road transport to an industrial unit located in the municipality of Piên, Paraná, with forest stands located in Campo do Tenente. The species transported was Pinus taeda L. (Pinaceae), in the format of logs, for consumption as a process by the industry (18 to 25 cm in diameter), having been planted 1,600 trees in one hectare and harvested at 15 years in the regime with an average increment of 40 m³ per hectare per year.

The short-log harvesting system (cut-to-length) was used, with the use of harvester for logging and forwarder for log extraction (2.6 m long). The harvesting system was cold, with the logs stacked for up to three months at the roadside.

For the loading of the wood in field, a forestry loader composed of a hydraulic excavator base machine was used with Caterpillar brand CAT 312D L, 3054C engine, rated power of 90.0 HP, equipped with a brand gripper Timber Forest, with a floor area of 0.8 m² and an average range of 9.0 m.

The wooden unloader in the industrial unit consisted of a hydraulic excavator base machine with treadmills of the brand Volvo, model EC210, rated power of 143 HP, equipped with claw of mark J of Souza, with a floor area of 1.35 m² and average range of 10.8 m.

The forest road transport comprised the phases of loading, loaded trip, unloading and empty journey (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Phases of forest road haulage.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tabela 1. Etapas do transporte rodoviário florestal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 Loading</th>
<th>2 Trip loaded</th>
<th>3 Offloading</th>
<th>4 Empty travel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 The vehicle arrived at the guardhouse of the forest unit in Campo do Tenente (PR);</td>
<td>2.1 Displacement of vehicles from the route of the guardhouse of the forest unit to the guardhouse of the industrial unit.</td>
<td>3.1 Pre-registration and weighing steps;</td>
<td>4.1 Displacement of vehicles from the route of the guardhouse of the industrial unit to the guardhouse of the forest unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 It moved to the region where the loading would take place;</td>
<td>2.2 Driving to the unloading region;</td>
<td>3.2 Driving to the unloading region;</td>
<td>4.2 Driving to the unloading region;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Proper loading of logs by the forestry loader into the vehicle's cargo compartment;</td>
<td>2.3 Properly unloading of logs from the vehicle's cargo compartment and depositing in the piles in the yard;</td>
<td>3.3 Properly unloading of logs from the vehicle's cargo compartment and depositing in the piles in the yard;</td>
<td>4.3 Properly unloading of logs from the vehicle's cargo compartment and depositing in the piles in the yard;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Connecting the belts to hold the load;</td>
<td>2.4 Cleaning the vehicle's combination;</td>
<td>3.4 Cleaning the vehicle's combination;</td>
<td>4.4 Cleaning the vehicle's combination;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Displacement to the forestry unit's guardhouse for manual measurement of cargo and invoice issuance.</td>
<td>2.5 Displacement back to the concierge and empty vehicle weighing.</td>
<td>3.5 Displacement back to the concierge and empty vehicle weighing.</td>
<td>4.5 Displacement back to the concierge and empty vehicle weighing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 shows the specifications of the carrier vehicle compositions used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2 Conjugated vehicle</th>
<th>3. Articulated vehicle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Tractor</td>
<td>2.1 Train Road – Composition:</td>
<td>3.1 Wagon – Composition:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Driving cab – Low bed:</td>
<td>1.3 Maximum unconjugated load of double tandem axes – 17,000.0 kg;</td>
<td>3.2 Maximum load on the triple tandem axes assembly of the semi-trailer – 25,500.0 kg;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Maximum load on single axle – 6,000 kg;</td>
<td>1.4 Front balance – 1,440 mm;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Minimum distance from the center of the axle to the body – 900.0 mm; 1.6 Wheelbase – 3,300.0 mm; 1.7 Chassis weight with cab on the front axle – 4,800.0 kg; 1.8 Chassis weight with cab on the rear axle – 3,800.0 kg; 1.9 Chassis weight with total cab – 8,700.0 kg; 1.10 Power/weight ratio – 40/25; 1.11 Traction – 6x2; 1.12 Brake system – Pneumatic disc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Maximum load on the triple tandem axes assembly of the semi-trailer – 25,500.0 kg;</td>
<td>2.3 Maximum load on the rear and front double axis – 20,000.0 kg; 2.4 PBTC – 68,500.0 kg; 2.5 PBTC + 5% - 72,000.0 kg; 2.6 Collection period – to 11/07/2012; 2.7 Operating cycles collected – 22.0.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Train Road – Composition:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Maximum load on the triple tandem axes assembly of the semi-trailer – 25,500.0 kg;</td>
<td>3.3 PBTC – 48,500.0 kg; 3.4 PBTC + 5% – 51,000.0 kg; 3.5 Collection period – 11/07/2012 to 02/22/2013; 3.6 Operational Cycles Collected - 107.0.</td>
<td>3.2 Maximum load on the triple tandem axes assembly of the semi-trailer – 25,500.0 kg;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be noted that the routes used by the drivers when loaded traveling were different from the routes in transit with empty vehicles, a fact that is justified by the need to get around inhabited areas and with greater traffic. The route traced for the loaded trip was 89.3 km, with a total of 3% of asphalted roads. The return route was equivalent to 44.1 km, with 7.7% of asphalt.

From the travel period stored by the telemetry system, the Duration (D) of each cycle activity was collected in minutes; Distance traveled (Q), in kilometers; Operating speed (V) developed by the vehicle during the transport cycle, in kilometers per hour; and Fuel consumption (C) of each vehicle during the operational cycle, in liters.

With the records of the departure and arrival times of the vehicles of the guardhouse of the forest and industrial unit, the times related to the internal displacement and loading, loaded trip, unloading, empty trip and total cycle were filtered. The net load carried per cycle was obtained by the difference between the weight of the vehicle loaded and empty.

To perform the sensitivity analysis of the dependent variable fuel consumption, it was necessary to define an equation (Stepwise) whose result was dependent on the values assumed by the independent variables (Table 3).

The sensitivity analysis was performed by modifying the main components of forest road transport fuel consumption by ±10%, as methodology applied by Silva et al. (2007).

The independent variables (duration, distance traveled, operating speed and liquid load transported) were modified to ± 10% and presented in a three-dimensional graph (surfaces) in relation to the behavior of the fuel consumption (dependent variable), called response surface.

Results

For the descriptive statistics, 22 cycles were collected with the conjugate and 107 cycles with the articulation (Figure 1). The difference between the number of cycles collected was due to the use of the same mechanical horse, without interference in the employee process for the analyzed company.

Table 3. Equations adjusted for forest road haulage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Cycle</th>
<th>Equation*</th>
<th>Conjugated vehicle: $C = 4.00 \times 10^7 + 6.82 \times 10^{-3} Q^2 - 3.22 \times 10^{-5} Q M - 9.62 \times 10^{-5} M^{-1}$</th>
<th>Articulated vehicle: $C = 3.83 - 2.07 D^{-1} + 4.92 \times 10^{-3} Q - 8.83 \times 10^{-1} 10.11 \times 10^{-9} M^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R^2_{adj} = 81.0$</td>
<td>$S_{X(Y)}(%) = 6.7$</td>
<td>$R^2_{adj} = 81.0$</td>
<td>$S_{X(Y)}(%) = 6.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In which: $C$ Estimated consumption (l); $Q$ Distance traveled (km); $V$ Operating speed (km/h); $M$ Liquid cargo transported (kg); and $D$ Duration (min); $R^2_{adj}$ Adjusted Coefficient of Determination; and $S_{X(Y)}$ Standard error of estimate in percentage.

Source: * Adapted Guimarães (2016b).
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Figure 1. Descriptive statistics of forest road haulage.

Figure 2 shows the sensitivity analysis for the estimated fuel consumption of vehicles used in forest road transport.
Figure 2. Sensitivity of estimated fuel consumption of forest haulage vehicles.

For the construction of the response surface in the total transport cycle with the hinged it was necessary to use the average duration of 634.0 min and the average speed of 24.4 km/h. A mean duration of 634.0 min and an average speed of 24.4 km/h were used to construct the surface in the total transport cycle with the articulation, since these variables had a lower influence on the variation in consumption.

Figure 3 shows the surface of answer for the estimated fuel consumption of vehicles used in forest road transport.

DISCUSSION

The conjugated vehicle had the highest load carried per cycle. However, with the articles, it was united the shorter cycle duration; travelled distance; and fuel consumption. As well as the higher operational speed.

The duration of the total cycle was variable, as demonstrated by the high coefficients of variation. During the working day of 1.440 min (24 h) each driver took, on average, 689.6 min. to complete each cycle with the conjugate and 634 min. with the articles. In this way, it was possible to complete 2.1 cycles with the conjugate and 2.3 with the articulation. By reducing the cycle time, more trips can be made during the work day, avoiding the loss of time with the vehicles stopped and allowing greater load for the industrial plant.
The driver was remunerated for completed cycle, that is, for cargo unloaded at the industrial unit. Taking into account the standard deviation of the duration when it is at its lower limit, it was possible to complete a cycle in a shorter time, and with this, respectively for the conjugate and for the articulation, reach 3.3 and 5.9 total cycles.

Each transport cycle using the conjugate covered an average of 160.6 km and with the articulation 147.0 km. At the beginning of the data collection with the use of the conjugate the loading fronts were 13 km farther than in the course evaluated with the articulated. On average, the distance traveled on the loaded and empty trip was similar with the use of both vehicles.

In relation to the operating speed, the total cycle with the conjugate presented an average speed of 12.5 km/h. The articulated vehicle reached double the speed reached by the conjugate.

It should be noted that the total cycle involved, in addition to the loaded and empty journeys, the loading and unloading stages. In these passive phases the driver remained, for a large portion, with the vehicles turned off or in maneuvers. The displacement of the guardhouse of the forest unit to the loading fronts and vice versa were also counted in the loading times. In these places the means of conduction occurred in forest roads that in the great majority are not paved and, therefore, result in lower operational speed.

The tare weight of the combination vehicle and the articles corresponded respectively to 24,922.2 kg and 21,326.8 kg. The conjugate transported on average 41,586.1 kg, being below the allowed limit of 68,500 kg. When analyzing the load carried with the articulated, it took on average 34,433.5 kg of logs, occurring overload, being above the allowed 48,500 kg. The conjugate led 17.3% more wood in relation to the articulation.

The excess load per axle reduces the road service life of the road, the vehicle itself, springs and brakes, causing premature wear and increasing the risk of accidents on the road. It also makes it difficult to climb forest road vehicles up the slope and boost slope speeds. And the main reason for carrying only the allowable amount of wood is the reduction of damage to drivers and other victims. In a study on the profile of drivers of forest road transport, Guimaraes et al. (2013) pointed out that 26% of those evaluated already suffered accidents, 80% of these caused by vehicle tipping.

The conjugate had an equivalent energy yield of 49.5, while the articulation reached 43.9 t.km/l. For the same transport cycle, it was possible to transport 5.6 t (11.3%) more wood with the conjugate in relation to the loaded one, for 1 km and with 1 l of fuel. Even so, the energy yield was lower than that presented by Machado et al. (2009). In this way the transport with the conjugate is more advantageous than with the article.

**Sensitivity analysis for estimated fuel consumption**

The cycle of forest road transport had average consumption of 129.6 l/cycle for the conjugate, for a mean distance traveled and average liquid load transported.

By varying the distance traveled in paths 10% lower for the total transport cycle with the conjugate while maintaining the average liquid load carried, there was a reduction of 11.6 l/transport cycle, while, with a 10% of the distance there was a higher estimated fuel consumption in the range of 15.4 l/cycle.

Conducting 10% less load on the conjugate, there was a reduction in 4.6 l/cycle, adding 10% of net cargo transported, there was also a lower consumption of 0.6 l/cycle. This variation was inherent to the adjusted equation. It must be transported with the use of the conjugate 43,500.0 t to traffic with the maximum amount allowed by the legislation.

The conjugate should be used for the longest journeys (distance covered 10% higher) and load of 43,500.0 t, resulting in an increase of 14.7 l/cycle to maximize the amount of wood transported.

When the vehicle used was the articulated, all the variables of the operational cycle influenced in the fuel consumption, maintaining its average, were consumed 107.6 l/transport cycle.

In a path 10% lower distance traveled distance, 7.6 l/cycle is reduced with the articulated, in the same way that transporting 10% less of load promoted an estimated consumption lower in 2.6 l/cycle. Following this logic, 10% less in cycle length with the use of articulated vehicle would reduce by 0.6 l. For a reduction of 10% of the operational speed would require 0.6 l of extra fuel to complete the same cycle with the articulation.

The results obtained with the reduction of the operational speed are in agreement with the studies of Seixas and Widmer (1993), who demonstrated the importance of the determination, more accurate, of the values of average speed that represent the approximate way of the performance of the vehicles in the situations of each company.

By bringing the logs at greater distances in the industry and forest route using the articulated, there was an increase of 8.4 l/cycle, just as with an additional liquid load of 10% greater would require 2.4 l of extra fuel per cycle.

The reduction in the wooden amount carried by the articulated one would have to be in the 21% order to adjust itself to the liquid load allowed by the legislation (27,286, 96 t). For the shortest transport distances (10%
lower), with a duration of 10% less and an operational speed 10% higher (26.8 km/h) there would be a reduction of 12.6 l/trip.

In order to ensure greater security and maintenance of supply, the forest unit should be located as close as possible to the production area, and when forests are distant from the industry, the alternative found to reduce transport costs is the use of vehicles with greater load and power capacity, such as the conjugate.

For smaller trains, carrying the maximum allowable quantity of wood, reduced loading and unloading times, reduced fuel consumption and reduced cycle time with the articulation. It is concluded that, for smaller distances, vehicles with less load capacity should be used, allowing agility of the passive stages.

Response surface for estimated fuel consumption of vehicles.

For the total transport cycle with the conjugate, traveling an average distance of 160.0 km, loaded on average of 41,586.1 t, there was a consumption of 129.2 l of fuel.

The maximum load carried by the conjugate should be 43,500.0 t so that it does not exceed 68,500.0 kg of PBTC. With this load, for the longest distance (185.0 km), there would be an estimated consumption of 153.1 l and an efficiency of 1.21 km/l.

During the transport cycle with a combined vehicle, 160.6 km were traveled between the route of the forest to industrial unit. According to Silva et al. (2007) the use of the road train was feasible up to a maximum distance of 226.0 km. Therefore, the distance traveled was economically viable.

By varying the operating speed and the transport cycle time by ± 10% with the articulation, there were no significant changes in the final consumption of the transport cycle in terms of changes in distance traveled and load carried.

The response surface of the estimated consumption of the articulated vehicle was elaborated with the distance covered varying from 132.0 to 161.7 km and with a load carried from 31,000.00 to 38,200.0 kg. Of these, there was an estimated consumption of 95.0 to 120.0 l/cycle.

Going 148.5 km of total transport cycle with the use of articulated, loaded on average 34,200.0 kg, would be consumed 108.2 l. For the smaller transport distance (132.0 km), load of 27,500.0 kg (maximum quantity as to not exceed 48,500.0 kg of wood allowed by the legislation), there would be a consumption of 95.6 l and an efficiency of 1, 38 km/l.

The energy efficiency for the conjugated one (1.2 km/l) and for the articulated one (1.38 km/l) had been smaller than what was found for Klvac et al. (2013), equivalent the 1.71 km/l in the Republic Czech. However, they were similar to the consumption found by Holzleitner and Kanzian (2011) of 1.3 km/l resulting from transport in Austria.

CONCLUSIONS

- The variable that more influenced in the sensitivity of the fuel consumption of the vehicles in the distance was covered, followed for the liquid load carried and the operational speed. The largest expenditure occurred on empty and loaded journeys because they had traveled the longest distances.
- The sensitivity analysis and the response surface as a function of the variables selected allowed us to identify the combination of distance traveled and load carried by the lowest fuel consumption in each element of the road transport operating cycle with the conjugate and articulated.
- The combined vehicle is recommended for the longest distances, as well as the articulation should be destined to the smaller routes, aiming at lower fuel consumption and maximization of the amount of wood transported by forest road transportation cycle.
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